arXiv:1703.04269v1 [math.NT] 13 Mar 2017

L-invariants of Hilbert modular forms

Bingyong Xie
Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China
byxie@math.ecnu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper we show that under certain condition the Fontaine–Mazur L-invariant for a Hilbert eigenform coincides with its Teitelbaum type L-invariant, and thus prove a conjecture of Chida, Mok and Park.

Introduction

In the remarkable paper [17] Greenberg and Stevens proved a formula for the derivative at $s = 1$ of the p-adic L-function of an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ (i.e. a modular form of weight 2) when p is a prime of split multiplicative reduction, which is the exceptional zero conjecture proposed by Mazur, Tate, and Teitelbaum [21]. An important quantity in this formula is the L-invariant, namely $\mathcal{L}(E) = \log_p(q_E)/v_p(q_E)$ where $q_E \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the Tate period for E.

For higher weight modular forms f, since [21], a number of different candidates for the L-invariant $\mathcal{L}(f)$ have been proposed. These include:

(1) Fontaine-Mazur's L-invariant $\mathcal{L}_{FM}$ using p-adic Hodge theory,
(2) Teitelbaum's L-invariant $\mathcal{L}_T$ built by the theory of p-adic uniformization of Shimura curves,
(3) an invariant $\mathcal{L}_C$ by Coleman's theory of p-adic integration on modular curves,
(4) an invariant $\mathcal{L}_{DO}$ due to Darmon and Orton using "modular-form valued distributions",
(5) Breuil's L-invariant $\mathcal{L}_B$ by p-adic Langlands theory.

Now, all of these invariants are known to be equal [2, 4, 10, 19]. The readers are invited to consult Colmez's paper [11] for some historical account.

The exceptional zero conjecture for higher weight modular forms has been proved by Steven using $\mathcal{L}_C$ [34], by Kato–Kurihara–Tsuji using $\mathcal{L}_{FM}$ (unpublished), by Orton using $\mathcal{L}_{DO}$ [25], by Emerton using $\mathcal{L}_B$ [14] and by Bertolini–Darmon–Iovita using $\mathcal{L}_T$ [2].

In [23] Mok addressed special cases of the exceptional zero conjecture in the setting of Hilbert modular forms. In [7] Chida, Mok and Park introduced the Teitelbaum type L-invariant for Hilbert modular forms, and conjectured that Teitelbaum type L-invariant coincides with the Fontaine-Mazur L-invariant. We state this conjecture precisely below.

Fix a prime number p. Let F be a totally real field, $g = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime ideal of F above p. Let f_∞ be a Hilbert eigen newform with even weight $(k_1, \cdots, k_g, w)$ and level divided exactly by $\mathfrak{p}$ (i.e. not by $\mathfrak{p}^2$). Here "even weight" means that $k_1, \cdots, k_g, w$ are all even.

On one hand, by Carayol's result [6] we can attach to f_∞ a p-adic representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. This Galois representation (restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) is semistable and thus we can attach to it a Fontaine-Mazur L-invariant $\mathcal{L}_{FM}(f_\infty)$.

On the other hand, Chida, Mok and Park attached to an automorphic form $\mathbf{f}$ on a totally definite quaternion algebra over F (of the same weight $(k_1, \cdots, k_g, w)$) a Teitelbaum type L-invariant $\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{f})$ under the following assumption

(CMP) $\qquad \mathbf{f}$ is new at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $U_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{f} = \mathcal{N}\mathfrak{p}^{w/2}\mathbf{f}$.

Both $\mathcal{L}_{FM}(f_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{f})$ are vector valued. See Section 1.2 and Section 8.2 for their precise definitions.

Conjecture 0.1. *If f_∞ and $\mathbf{f}$ are associated to each other by the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, then $\mathcal{L}_{FM}(f_\infty) = \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{f})$.*

Our main result is the following

Theorem 0.2. (=*Theorem 9.4*) *Assume that F satisfies the following condition:*

there is no place other than $\mathfrak{p}$ above p.

Let f_∞ and $\mathbf{f}$ be as above. Then $\mathcal{L}_{FM}(f_\infty) = \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{f})$.

We sketch the proof of Theorem 0.2. Our method is similar to that in [19]. The Galois representation attached to f_∞ comes from the étale cohomology $H^1_{\text{ét}}$ of some local system on a Shimura curve. The technical part of our paper is the computation of the filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to this local system. On one hand, Coleman and Iovita [10] provided a precise description of the monodromy operator, which is helpful for computing Fontaine-Mazur's L-invariant. On the other hand, the Teitelbaum type L-invariant is closely related to the de Rham cohomology of the filtered φ_q-isocrystal by Coleman integration and Schneider integration. Our precise description of the filtered φ_q-isocrystal allows us to compute Fontaine-Mazur's L-invariant and the Teitelbaum type L-invariant. Finally, analyzing the relation among the monodromy operator, Coleman integration and Schneider integration finishes the proof.

When F has more than one place (say r places) above p, our method of computing filtered φ_q-isocrystals is not valid. To make it work, one might have to consider the Shimura variety studied by Rapoport and Zink [27, Chapter 6] (which is of dimension r) instead of the Shimura curve. Coleman and Iovita's result is valid only for curves, and so can not be applied directly. We plan to address this problem in a future work.

Our paper is organized as follows. Fontaine-Mazur's L-invariant is introduced in Section 1. Coleman and Iovita's result is recall in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to compute the filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to the universal special formal module. We introduce various Shimura curves, and study their p-adic uniformizations following Rapoport and Zink respectively in Section 4 and Section 5. In Section 6 we use the result in Section 3 to determine the filtered φ_q-isocrystals attached to various local systems on Shimura curves. In Section 7 we recall the theory of de Rham cohomology of certain local systems, and in Section 8 we recall Chida, Mok and Park's construction of Teitelbaum type L-invariant. Finally in Section 9 we combine results in Section 2, Section 6 and Section 7 to prove our main theorem.

Acknowledgement

This paper is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11671137).

Notations

For two $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras A and B, write $A \otimes B$ for $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} B$. For a ring R let $R^\times$ denote the multiplicative group of invertible elements in R. For a linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ we will identify it with its $\mathbb{Q}$-valued points.

Let F be a totally real number field, $g = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let p be a fixed prime. Suppose that p is inertia in F, i.e. there exists exactly one place of F above p, denoted by $\mathfrak{p}$. If q is a power of p, we use $v_p(q)$ to denote $\log_p q$.

Let $\mathbb{A}_f$ denote $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let $\mathbb{A}_f^p$ denote $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\prod_{\ell \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Similarly for any number field E let $\mathbb{A}_{E,f}$ denote $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, the group of finite adèles of E.

Fix an algebraic closure of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, denoted by $\overline{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, and let $\mathbb{C}_p$ be the completion of $\overline{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ with respect to the p-adic topology. By this way we have fixed an embedding $F_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. The Galois group $G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}/F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ can be naturally identified with the group of continuous $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}_p$.

1 Fontaine-Mazur invariant

1.1 Monodromy modules and Fontaine-Mazur L-invariant

Let $F_{\mathfrak{p}0}$ be the maximal absolutely unramified subfield of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let q be the cardinal number of the residue field of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Let $\mathrm{B_{cris}}, \mathrm{B_{st}}$ and $\mathrm{B_{dR}}$ be Fontaine's period rings [16]. As is well known, there are operators φ and N on $\mathrm{B_{st}}$, and a descending $\mathbb{Z}$-filtration on $\mathrm{B_{dR}}$; $\mathrm{B_{cris}}$ is a φ-stable subring of $\mathrm{B_{st}}$ and N vanishes on $\mathrm{B_{cris}}$. Put $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{st},F_{\mathfrak{p}}} := \mathrm{B_{st}} \otimes_{F_{\mathfrak{p}0}} F_{\mathfrak{p}}$; $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{st},F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ can be considered as a subring of $\mathrm{B_{dR}}$. We extend the operators $\varphi_q = \varphi^{v_p(q)}$ and N $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-linearly to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{st},F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Let K be either a finite unramified extension of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ or the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $\mathbb{C}_p$. Write G_K for the group of continuous automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}_p$ fixing elements of K. By our assumption on K we have

$$(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris},F_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{G_K} = (\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{st},F_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{G_K} = (\mathrm{B_{dR}})^{G_K} = K.$$

Let L be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. For an L-linear representation V of G_K, we put

$$D_{\mathrm{st},F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(V) := (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{st},F_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{G_K}.$$

This is a finite rank $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$-module. If V is semistable, then $D_{\mathrm{st},F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(V)$ is a filtered (φ_q, N)-module: the (φ_q, N)-module structure is induced from the operators $\varphi_q = 1_V \otimes \varphi_q$ and $N = 1_V \otimes N$ on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{st},F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$; the filtration comes from that on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{B_{dR}}$. Note that φ_q and N are $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$-linear.

If L splits $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_\sigma L \otimes_{\sigma,F_{\mathfrak{p}}} K$, where σ runs through all embeddings of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ into L. Here the subscript σ under $\otimes$ indicates that $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is considered as a subfield of L via σ. Let e_σ be the unity of the subring $L \otimes_{\sigma,F_{\mathfrak{p}}} K$.

We shall need the notion of monodromy modules. This notion is introduced in [20]. However we will use the slightly different definition given in [19].

Let T be a finite-dimensional commutative semisimple $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra. A T-object D in the category of filtered (φ_q, N)-modules, is called a 2-*dimensional monodromy T-module*, if the following hold:

- D is a free $T_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-module of rank 2 ($T_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} = T \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F_{\mathfrak{p}}$),

• the sequence $D \xrightarrow{N} D \xrightarrow{N} D$ is exact,

• there exists an integer j_0 such that $\mathrm{Fil}^{j_0} D$ is a free $T_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$-submodule of rank 1 and $\mathrm{Fil}^{j_0} D \cap \ker(N) = 0$.

Lemma 1.1. *([19, Lemma 2.3]) If D is a monodromy T-module, then there exists a decomposition $D = D^{(1)} \oplus D^{(2)}$ where $D^{(1)}$ and $D^{(2)}$ are φ_q-stable free rank one $T_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$-submodules such that $N : D \to D$ induces an isomorphism $N|_{D^{(2)}} : D^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\sim} D^{(1)}$.*

Let D be a monodromy T-module and let j_0 be as above. The *Fontaine-Mazur L-invariant* of D is defined to be the unique element in $T_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$, denoted as $\mathcal{L}_{FM}(D)$, such that $x - \mathcal{L}_{FM}(D)N(x) \in \mathrm{Fil}^{j_0} D$ for every $x \in D^{(2)}$.

What we are interested in is the case when T is an L-algebra, where L is a field splitting $F_\mathfrak{p}$. Note that we have an decomposition of $T_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$:

$$T_{F_\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_\sigma T_\sigma, \quad t \otimes a \mapsto (\sigma(a)t)_\sigma, \tag{1.1}$$

where σ runs through all embeddings of $F_\mathfrak{p}$ in L. The index σ in T_σ indicates that T is considered as an $F_\mathfrak{p}$-algebra via σ. Then we have a decomposition of D by $D \simeq \bigoplus_\sigma D_\sigma$, where $D_\sigma = e_\sigma \cdot D$. Each D_σ is stable under φ_q and N. Note that, for every j, $\mathrm{Fil}^j D$ is a $T_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$-submodule. Thus the filtration on D restricts to a filtration on D_σ for each σ, and satisfies $\mathrm{Fil}^j D = \bigoplus_\sigma \mathrm{Fil}^j D_\sigma$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Using the decomposition (1.1) we may write $\mathcal{L}_{FM}(D)$ in the form $(\mathcal{L}_{FM,\sigma}(D))_\sigma$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}_{FM,\sigma}(D)$ is the unique element in T such that $x - \mathcal{L}_{FM,\sigma}(D)N(x) \in \mathrm{Fil}^{j_0} D_\sigma$ for every $x \in D_\sigma^{(2)}$. We also call $(\mathcal{L}_{FM,\sigma}(D))_\sigma$, a vector with values in T, the *Fontaine-Mazur L-invariant* of D.

1.2 Fontaine-Mazur L-invariant for Hilbert modular forms

Let $\{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_g\}$ be the set of real embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Fix a multiweight $\mathrm{k} = (k_1, \cdots, k_g, w) \in \mathbb{N}^{g+1}$ satisfying $k_i \geq 2$ and $k_i \equiv w \mod 2$.

Let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{A}_F)$ such that for each infinite place τ_i, the τ_i-component π_{τ_i} is a holomorphic discrete series representation D_{k_i}. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the level of π.

Carayol [6] attached to such an automorphic representation (under a further condition) an ℓ-adic Galois representation, which will be recalled below.

Let L be a sufficiently large number field of finite degree over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that the finite part $\pi^\infty = \otimes_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \infty} \pi_\mathfrak{p}$ of π admits an L-structure π_L^∞. The fixed part $(\pi_L^\infty)^{K_1(\mathfrak{n})}$ is of dimension 1 and generated by an eigenform f_∞. In this case we write π_{f_∞} for π.

The local Langlands correspondence associates to every irreducible admissible representation π of $\mathrm{GL}(2, F_\mathfrak{p})$ defined over L a 2-dimensional L-rational Frobenius-semisimple representation $\sigma(\pi)$ of the Weil-Deligne group $WD(\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}/F_\mathfrak{p})$. Let $\check{\sigma}(\pi)$ denote the dual of $\sigma(\pi)$.

For an ℓ-adic representation ρ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$, let $\rho_\mathfrak{p}$ denote its restriction to $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}/F_\mathfrak{p})$, $'\rho_\mathfrak{p}$ the Weil-Deligne representation attached to $\rho_\mathfrak{p}$ and $'\rho_\mathfrak{p}^{\text{F-ss}}$ the Frobenius-semisimplification of $'\rho_\mathfrak{p}$.

Theorem 1.2. *[6] Let f_∞ be an eigenform of multiweight k satisfying the following condition:*

If $g = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even, then there exists a finite place $\mathfrak{q}$ such that the $\mathfrak{q}$-factor $\pi_{f_\infty,\mathfrak{q}}$ lies in the discrete series.

Then for any prime number ℓ and a finite place λ of L above ℓ, there exists a λ-adic representation $\rho = \rho_{f_\infty,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_{L_\lambda}(V_{f_\infty,\lambda})$ satisfying the following property:

For any finite place $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell$ there is an isomorphism

$$'\rho^{\text{F-ss}}_{f_\infty,\lambda,\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \check{\sigma}(\pi_{f_\infty,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_L L_\lambda$$

of representations of the Weil-Deligne group $WD(\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}/F_\mathfrak{p})$.

Saito [28] showed that when $\mathfrak{p}|\ell$, $\rho_{f_\infty,\lambda,\mathfrak{p}}$ is potentially semistable.

Now we assume that $\ell = p$, $\mathfrak{p}$ is the prime ideal of F above p, and L contains F. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime ideal of L above $\mathfrak{p}$.

Theorem 1.3. (=*Theorem 9.2*) *Let f_∞ be as in Theorem 1.2, $\ell = p$ and $\lambda = \mathfrak{P}$. If f_∞ is new at $\mathfrak{p}$ (when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd, we demand that f_∞ is new at another prime ideal), then $\rho_{f_\infty,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}}$ is a semistable (non-crystalline) representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}/F_\mathfrak{p})$, and the filtered (φ_q, N)-module $D_{\mathrm{st},F_\mathfrak{p}}(\rho_{f_\infty,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}})$ is a monodromy $L_\mathfrak{P}$-module.*

Remark 1.4. The conditions in Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 are used to ensure that via the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence f_∞ corresponds to a modular form on the Shimura curve M associated to a quaternion algebra B that splits at exactly one real place; in Theorem 1.3 the quaternion algebra B is demanded to be ramified at $\mathfrak{p}$. See Section 4.1 for the construction of M.

Thus $D_{\mathrm{st},F_\mathfrak{p}}(\rho_{f_\infty,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}})$ is associated with the Fontaine-Mazur L-invariant. We define the *Fontaine-Mazur L-invariant* of f_∞, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{FM}(f_\infty)$, to be that of $D_{\mathrm{st},F_\mathfrak{p}}(\rho_{f_\infty,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}})$.

2 Local systems and the associated filtered φ_q-isocrystals

Let X be a p-adic formal $\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$-scheme. Suppose that X is analytically smooth over $\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$, i.e. the generic fiber X^{an} of X is smooth.

The filtered convergent φ-isocrystals attached to local systems are studied in [15, 10]. It is more convenience for us to compute the filtered convergent φ_q-isocrystals attached to the local systems that we will be interested in. From now on, we will ignore "convergent" in the notion.

Filtered φ_q-isocrystal is a natural analogue of filtered φ-isocrystal. To define it one needs the notion of $F_\mathfrak{p}$-enlargement. An *$F_\mathfrak{p}$-enlargement* of X is a pair (T, x_T) consisting of a flat formal $\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$-scheme T and a morphism of formal $\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$-scheme $x_T : T_0 \to X$, where T_0 is the reduced closed subscheme of T defined by the ideal $\pi\mathscr{O}_T$.

An *isocrystal* $\mathscr{E}$ on X consists of the following data:

- for every $F_\mathfrak{p}$-enlargement (T, x_T) a coherent $\mathscr{O}_T \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}} F_\mathfrak{p}$-module $\mathscr{E}_T$,
- for every morphism of $F_\mathfrak{p}$-enlargements $g : (T', x_{T'}) \to (T, x_T)$ an isomorphism of $\mathscr{O}_{T'} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}} F_\mathfrak{p}$-modules $\theta_g : g^*(\mathscr{E}_T) \to \mathscr{E}_{T'}$.

The collection of isomorphisms $\{\theta_g\}$ is required to satisfy certain cocycle condition. If T is an $F_\mathfrak{p}$-enlargement of X, then $\mathscr{E}_T$ may be interpreted as a coherent sheaf E^{an}_T on the rigid space T^{an}.

As X is analytically smooth over $\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$, there is a natural integrable connection

$$\nabla_X : E^{\mathrm{an}}_X \to E^{\mathrm{an}}_X \otimes \Omega^1_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}.$$

Note that an isocrystal on X depends only on X_0. Let φ_q denote the absolute q-Frobenius of X_0. A *φ_q-isocrystal* on X is an isocrystal $\mathscr{E}$ on X together with an isomorphism of isocrystals $\varphi_q : \varphi_q^*\mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{E}$. A *filtered φ_q-isocrystal* on X is a φ_q-isocrystal $\mathscr{E}$ with a descending $\mathbb{Z}$-filtration on E_X^{an}.

The following well known result compares the de Rham cohomology of a filtered φ_q-isocrystal and the étale cohomology of the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-local system associated to it.

Proposition 2.1. *[15, Theorem 3.2] Suppose that X is a semistable proper curve over $\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a filtered φ_q-isocrystal over X and $\mathcal{E}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-local system over $X_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}$ that are attached to each other. Then the Galois representation $H^i_{\mathrm{et}}(X_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{E})$ of $G_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$ is semistable, and the filtered (φ_q, N)-module $D_{\mathrm{st},F_\mathfrak{p}}(H^i_{\mathrm{et}}(X_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{E}))$ is isomorphic to $H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(X^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathscr{E})$.*

Coleman and Iovita [10] gave a precise description of the monodromy N on $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathscr{E})$.

Now let X be a connected, smooth and proper curve over $F_\mathfrak{p}$ with a regular semistable model $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$ such that all irreducible components of its special fiber $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ are smooth. For a subset U of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ let $]U[$ denote the tube of U in X^{an}. We associate to $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ a graph $\mathrm{Gr}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$. Let $\mathrm{n} : \overline{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{n}} \to \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ be the normalization of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$. The vertices $\mathrm{V}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ of $\mathrm{Gr}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ are irreducible components of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$. For every vertex v let C_v be the irreducible component corresponding to v. The edges $\mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ of $\mathrm{Gr}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ are ordered pairs $\{x, y\}$ where x and y are two different liftings in $\overline{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of a singular point. Let τ be the involution on $\mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ such that $\tau\{x, y\} = \{y, x\}$. Below, for a module M on which τ acts, set $M^{\pm} = \{m \in M : \tau(m) = \pm m\}$.

Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a filtered φ_q-isocrystal over X. For any $e = \{x, y\} \in \mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ let $H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(]e[, \mathscr{E})$ denote $H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(]\mathrm{n}(x)[, \mathscr{E})$. Then τ exchanges $H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(]e[, \mathscr{E})$ and $H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(]\bar{e}[, \mathscr{E})$ where $\bar{e} = \{y, x\}$. Note that $\{C_v\}_{v \in \mathrm{V}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})}$ is an admissible covering of X^{an}. From the Mayer-Vietorus exact sequence with respect to this admissible covering we obtain the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\textstyle\bigoplus_{e \in \mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})} H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(]e[, \mathscr{E}))^- / \bigoplus_{v \in \mathrm{V}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})} H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(]C_v[, \mathscr{E}) \xrightarrow{\ \iota\ } H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathscr{E})$$

$$\longrightarrow \ker\Big(\textstyle\bigoplus_{v \in \mathrm{V}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})} H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(]C_v[, \mathscr{E}) \to (\bigoplus_{e \in \mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})} H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(]e[, \mathscr{E}))^+\Big) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For any $e \in \mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ there is a natural residue map $\mathrm{Res}_e : H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(]e[, \mathscr{E}) \to H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(]e[, \mathscr{E})$ [10, Section 4.1]. These residue maps induce a map

$$\bigoplus_{e \in \mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})} \mathrm{Res}_e : \quad \Big(\bigoplus_{e \in \mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})} H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(]e[, \mathscr{E})\Big)^+ \to \Big(\bigoplus_{e \in \mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})} H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(]e[, \mathscr{E})\Big)^-.$$

Proposition 2.2. *[10, Theorem 2.6, Remark 2.7] The monodromy operator N on $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathscr{E})$ coincides with the composition*

$$\iota \circ \Big(\bigoplus_{e \in \mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})} \mathrm{Res}_e\Big) \circ \Big(H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathscr{E}) \to \Big(\bigoplus_{e \in \mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})} H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(]e[, \mathscr{E}))^+\Big)$$

where $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathscr{E}) \to \Big(\bigoplus_{e \in \mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})} H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(]e[, \mathscr{E})\Big)^+$ is the restriction map.

3 The universal special formal module

3.1 Special formal modules and Drinfeld's moduli theorem

Let $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the quaternion algebra over $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with invariant $1/2$. So $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)}[\Pi]$; $\Pi^2 = \pi$ and $\Pi a = \bar{a}\Pi$ for all $a \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)}$. Here, π is a fixed uniformizer of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)}$ is the unramified extension of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of degree 2, and $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ denotes the nontrivial $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-automorphism of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)}$.

Let $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ be the ring of integers in $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $F_{\mathfrak{p}0}$ be the maximal absolutely unramified subfield of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, k the residue field of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $F_{\mathfrak{p}0}^{(2)}$ the unramified extension of $F_{\mathfrak{p}0}$ of degree 2.

Let $\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ denote the maximal unramified extension of $\mathscr{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, $\widehat{\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ its π-adic completion. Fix an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of k. We identify $\widehat{\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}}/\pi\widehat{\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ with $\bar{k}$. Then $\mathrm{W}(\bar{k}) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^0}} \mathscr{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cong \widehat{\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$. Let $\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ be the fractional field of $\widehat{\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$.

We use the notion of special formal $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-module in [13].

First we fix a special formal $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-module over $\bar{k}$, Φ, as in [27, (3.54)]. Let ι denote the natural embedding of $F_{\mathfrak{p}0}$ into $\mathrm{W}(\bar{k})[1/p]$. Then all embeddings of $F_{\mathfrak{p}0}$ into $\mathrm{W}(\bar{k})[1/p]$ are $\varphi^j \circ \iota$ $(0 \le j \le v_p(q)-1)$. We have the decomposition

$$\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k}) = \prod_{j=0}^{v_p(q)-1} \mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^0}, \varphi^j \circ \iota} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k}).$$

Let $u \in \mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k})$ be the element whose $\varphi^j \circ \iota$-component with respect to this decomposition is

$$u_{\varphi^j \circ \iota} = \begin{cases} \Pi \otimes 1 & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 1 \otimes 1 & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, v_p(q)-1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}$ be the $1 \otimes \varphi$-semilinear operator on $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k})$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{F}} x = (1 \otimes \varphi) x \cdot u, \quad x \in \mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k}).$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{V}}$ be the $1 \otimes \varphi^{-1}$-semilinear operator on $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k})$ such that $\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}\widetilde{\mathrm{V}} = p$. Then

$$(\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k}), \widetilde{\mathrm{V}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{F}})$$

is a Dieudonne module over $\mathrm{W}(\bar{k})$ with an action of $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ by the left multiplication. Let Φ be the special formal $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-module over $\bar{k}$ whose contravariant Dieudonne crystal is $(\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k}), \widetilde{\mathrm{V}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{F}})$. [1]

Let ι_0 and ι_1 be the extensions of ι to $F_{\mathfrak{p}0}^{(2)}$. Then

$$\varphi^j \iota_0, \ \varphi^j \iota_1 \quad (0 \le j \le v_p(q)-1)$$

[1] The Dieudonne crystal in [27, (3.54)] is the covariant Dieudonne crystal of Φ. The duality between our contravariant Dieudonne crystal and the covariant Dieudonne crystal is induced by the trace map

$$< \cdot, \cdot >: \mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \times \mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \to \mathbb{Z}_p, (x, y) \mapsto \mathrm{tr}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}\left(\delta_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1} \mathrm{tr}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}/F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(xy^t)\right),$$

where $\delta_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the different of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mathrm{tr}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}/F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is the reduced trace map, and $y \mapsto y^t$ is the involution of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\Pi^t = \Pi$ and $a^t = \bar{a}$ if $a \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)}$. Then we have $< b \cdot x, y > = < x, b^t \cdot y >$ for any $b \in \mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

are all embeddings of $F_{\mathfrak{p}0}^{(2)}$ into $\mathrm{W}(\bar{k})[1/p]$. We have

$$\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k}) = \prod_{j=0}^{v_p(q)-1} \mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}0}^{(2)}}, \varphi^j \circ \iota_0} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k}) \times \prod_{j=0}^{v_p(q)-1} \mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}0}^{(2)}}, \varphi^j \circ \iota_1} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k}),$$

where $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is considered as an $\mathscr{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)}}$-module by the left multiplication. Let X be the element of $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k})$ whose $\varphi^j \circ \iota_0$-component $(0 \leq j \leq v_p(q)-1)$ is $1 \otimes 1$ and whose $\varphi^j \circ \iota_1$-component $(0 \leq j \leq v_p(q)-1)$ is $\mathit{\Pi} \otimes 1$. Similarly, let Y be the element whose $\varphi^j \circ \iota_0$-component $(0 \leq j \leq v_p(q)-1)$ is $\mathit{\Pi} \otimes 1$ and whose $\varphi^j \circ \iota_1$-component $(0 \leq j \leq v_p(q)-1)$ is $\pi \otimes 1$. Then $\{X, Y\}$ is a basis of $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k})$ over $\mathscr{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k})$.

Note that $\mathrm{GL}(2, F_{\mathfrak{p}}) = (\mathrm{End}^0_{\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \Phi)^\times$ [27, Lemma 3.60]. We normalize the isomorphism such that the action on the φ-module

$$(\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k}), \widetilde{\mathrm{F}})[1/p] = (B_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k})[1/p], \widetilde{\mathrm{F}})$$

is given by $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right] X = (a \otimes 1)X + (c \otimes 1)Y$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right] Y = (b \otimes 1)X + (d \otimes 1)Y$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_0$ denote the φ_q-module

$$(B_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{F}}^{v_p(q)})$$

coming from the φ-module $(\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{W}(\bar{k}), \widetilde{\mathrm{F}})[1/p]$.

We describe Drinfeld's moduli problem. Let Nilp be the category of $\widehat{\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$-algebras on which π is nilpotent. For any $A \in \mathrm{Nilp}$, let ψ be the homomorphism $\bar{k} \to A/\pi A$; let $\mathrm{SFM}(A)$ be the set of pairs (G, ρ) where G is a special formal $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-module over A and $\rho : \Phi_{A/\pi A} = \psi_* \Phi \to G$ is a quasi-isogeny of height zero.

We state a part of Drinfeld's theorem [13] as follows.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the Drinfeld upper half plane over $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, i.e. the rigid analytic $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-variety whose $\mathbb{C}_p$-points are $\mathbb{C}_p - F_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem 3.1. *The functor* SFM *is represented by a formal scheme* $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \hat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ *over* $\widehat{\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ *whose generic fiber is* $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}} = \mathcal{H} \hat{\otimes} \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the universal special formal $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-module over $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \hat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$. There is an action of $\mathrm{GL}(2, F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ on $\mathcal{G}$ (see [3, Chapter II (9.2)]): The group $\mathrm{GL}(2, F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ acts on the functor SFM by $g \cdot (\psi; G, \rho) = (\psi \circ \mathrm{Frob}^{-n}; G, \rho \circ \psi_*(g^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Frob}^n))$ if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\det g) = n$. Here, $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the valuation of $\mathbb{C}_p$ normalized such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi) = 1$.

3.2 The filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to the universal special formal module

It is rather difficult to describe $\mathcal{G}$ precisely. [2] However, we can determine the associated (contravariant) filtered φ_q-isocrystal $\mathcal{M}$.

In the following, we write $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H}, \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ for $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H} \hat{\otimes} \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}, \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ for the differential sheaf $\Omega_{\mathcal{H} \hat{\otimes} \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$.

[2] See [35] for some information about $\mathcal{G}$ and [38] for a higher rank analogue.

As is observed in [15] and [27], except for the filtration, the φ_q-isocrystal $\mathcal{M}$ is constant. So it is naturally isomorphic to the φ_q-isocrystal

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_0 \otimes_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$$

with the q-Frobenius being $\mathrm{F}^{v_p(q)} \otimes \varphi_{q,\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}}$ and the connection being

$$1 \otimes \mathrm{d} : \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_0 \otimes_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}} \to \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_0 \otimes_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}.$$

Next we determine the filtration on $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_0 \otimes_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$. For any $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-algebras K and L, $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ is isomorphic to $L \otimes_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} K \oplus (L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K)_{\mathrm{non}}$, where $(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K)_{\mathrm{non}}$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K \to L \otimes_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} K$, $\ell \otimes a \mapsto \ell \otimes a$. If L is a field extension of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ containing all embeddings of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K = \bigoplus_{\tau:F_{\mathfrak{p}}\hookrightarrow L} L \otimes_{\tau,F_{\mathfrak{p}}} K$ and $(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K)_{\mathrm{non}}$ corresponds to the non-canonical embeddings. We apply this to $L = F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $K = \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$; consider $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_0 = B_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ as an $F_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$-module. Then $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_0$ splits into two parts: one is the canonical part which corresponds to the natural embedding $\mathrm{id} : F_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and the other is the non-canonical part. Correspondingly, $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_0 \otimes_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ splits into two parts, the canonical part $B_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ and the non-canonical part. Since $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ acts on the Lie algebra of any special formal $\mathscr{O}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-module through the natural embedding, the filtration on the non-canonical part is trivial.

The filtration on the canonical part is closely related to the period morphism [15, 27]. Let us recall the definition of the period morphism [38, Section 2.2]. We will use the notations in [38].

Let $M(\Phi)$ be the Cartier module of Φ, a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded module. The $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-grading depends on a choice of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-embedding of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)}$ into $\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$. We choose the one, $\tilde{\iota}_0$, that restricts to ι_0, and denote the other $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-embedding by $\tilde{\iota}_1$. We fix a graded V-basis $\{g^0, g^1\}$ of $M(\Phi)$ such that $\mathrm{V}g^0 = \mathit{\Pi} g^0$ and $\mathrm{V}g^1 = \mathit{\Pi} g^1$. Then $\{g^0, g^1, \mathrm{V}g^0, \mathrm{V}g^1\}$ is a basis of $M(\Phi)[1/p]$ over $\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$; $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} \subset B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ acts on $\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}} g^0 \oplus \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}} \mathrm{V}g^1$ by $\tilde{\iota}_0$, and acts on $\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}} \mathrm{V}g^0 \oplus \widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}} g^1$ by $\tilde{\iota}_1$. See [13] for the definition of Cartier module and the meaning of graded V-basis. See [27, (3.55)] for the relation between $M(\Phi)$ and the covariant Dieudonne module attached to Φ. In loc. cit. Cartier module is called τ-$W_F(L)$-crystal.

Let R be any π-adically complete $\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$-algebra. Drinfeld constructed for each $(\psi; G, \rho) \in \mathrm{SFM}(R)$ a quadruple (η, T, u, ρ). Let $M = M(G)$ be the Cartier module of G, $N(M)$ the auxiliary module that is the quotient of $M \oplus M$ by the submodule generated by elements of the form $(\mathrm{V}x, -\mathit{\Pi} x)$ and β_M the quotient map $M \oplus M \to N(M)$. For $(x_0, x_1) \in M \oplus M$, we write $((x_0, x_1))$ for $\beta_M(x_0, x_1)$. Then we have a map $\varphi_M : N(M) \to N(M)$. See [38, Definition 4] for its definition. Put

$$\eta_M := N(M)^{\varphi_M}, \quad T_M := M/\mathrm{V}M;$$

both η_M and T_M are $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded. Let $u_M : \eta_M \to T_M$ be the $\mathscr{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}[\mathit{\Pi}]$-linear map of degree 0 that is the composition of the inclusion $\eta_M \hookrightarrow N(M)$ and the map

$$N(M) \to M/\mathrm{V}M, \qquad ((x_0, x_1)) \mapsto x_0 \bmod \mathrm{V}M.$$

Then $\eta_{M(\Phi)}$ is a free $\mathscr{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$-module of rank 4 with a basis

$$\{((g^0, 0)),\ ((g^1, 0)), ((\mathrm{V}g^0, 0)), ((\mathrm{V}g^1, 0))\},$$

where $((g^0,0))$, $((\mathrm{V}g^1,0))$ are in degree 0, and $((g^1,0))$, $((\mathrm{V}g^0,0))$ are in degree 1. The quasi-isogeny $\rho:\psi_*\Phi\to G_{R/\pi R}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\rho:\eta^0_{M(\Phi)}\otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}F_\mathfrak{p}\xrightarrow{\sim}\eta^0_{M(G)}\otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}F_\mathfrak{p}.$$

Then the period of (G,ρ) is defined by

$$z(G,\rho)=\frac{u'_M\circ\rho((\mathrm{V}g^1,0))}{u'_M\circ\rho((g^0,0))},\tag{3.1}$$

where u'_M is the the map $\eta^0_{M(G)}\otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}F_\mathfrak{p}\to T^0_M\otimes_R R[1/p]$ induced by u_M.

Note that considered as a φ_q-module, $M(\Phi)[1/p]$ is the dual of $B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}$, the canonical part of $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_0$. Let $\{v_0,v_1,v_2,v_3\}$ be the basis of $B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}$ over $\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}$ dual to $\{\pi g^1,g^0,\mathrm{V}g^0,\mathrm{V}g^1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Fil}^0B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}} &= B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}\\
\mathrm{Fil}^1B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}} &= \text{the } \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}\text{-submodule generated by}\\
&\qquad \widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}\cdot(v_1+zv_3)\oplus\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}\cdot(zv_0+v_2)\\
\mathrm{Fil}^2B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here z is the canonical coordinate on $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}$.

We decompose $B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}$ into two direct summands:

$$B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}=B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F^{(2)}_\mathfrak{p},\,\tilde{\iota}_0}\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}\oplus B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F^{(2)}_\mathfrak{p},\,\tilde{\iota}_1}\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}},$$

where $B_\mathfrak{p}$ is considered as an $F^{(2)}_\mathfrak{p}$-module by left multiplication. Let e_0 and e_1 denote the projection to the first summand and that to the second, respectively. We may choose g^0,g^1 such that $v_0=e_0X,\ v_1=e_1Y,\ v_2=e_0Y,\ v_3=e_1X$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Fil}^0B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}} &= B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}\\
\mathrm{Fil}^1B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}} &= \text{the } F^{(2)}_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}\text{-submodule generated by } zX+Y\\
\mathrm{Fil}^2B_\mathfrak{p}\otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we note that the induced action of $\mathrm{GL}(2,F_\mathfrak{p})$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is given by $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}a&b\\c&d\end{smallmatrix}\right]z=\frac{az+b}{cz+d}$.

4 Shimura curves

Fix a real place τ_1 of F. Let B be a quaternion algebra over F that splits at τ_1 and is ramified at other real places $\{\tau_2,\cdots,\tau_g\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$.

4.1 Shimura curves M, M' and M''

We will use three Shimura curves studied by Carayol [5] and recall their constructions below (see also [28]).

Let G be the reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $G(R) = (B \otimes R)^\times$ for any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra R. Let Z be the center of G; it is isomorphic to $T = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}_m$. Let $\nu : G \to T$ be the morphism obtained from the reduced norm $\mathrm{Nrd}_{B/F}$ of B. The kernel of ν is G^{der}, the derived group of G, and thus we have a short exact sequence of algebraic groups

$$1 \longrightarrow G^{\mathrm{der}} \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\nu} T \longrightarrow 1.$$

Let X be the $G(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccl} h: & \mathbb{C}^\times & \to & G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{H}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{H}^\times \\ z = x + \sqrt{-1}y & & \mapsto & \left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{smallmatrix}\right]^{-1}, 1, \cdots, 1 \right), \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{H}$ is the Hamilton quaternion algebra. The conjugacy class X is naturally identified with the union of upper and lower half planes. Let $M = M(G, X) = (M_U(G, X))_U$ be the canonical model of the Shimura variety attached to the Shimura pair (G, X); the canonical model is defined over F, the reflex field of (G, X). There is a natural right action of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ on $M(G, X)$. Here and in what follows, by abuse of terminology we call a projective system of varieties simply a variety.

Take an imaginary quadratic field $E_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a})$ (a a square-free positive integer) such that p splits in E_0. Put $E = FE_0$ and $D = B \otimes_F E = B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_0$. We fix a square root ρ of $-a$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Then the prolonging of τ_i to E by $x + y\sqrt{-a} \mapsto \tau_i(x) + \tau_i(y)\rho$ (resp. $x + y\sqrt{-a} \mapsto \tau_i(x) - \tau_i(y)\rho$) is denoted by τ_i (resp. $\bar{\tau}_i$).

Let T_E be the torus $\mathrm{Res}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}_m$, T_E^1 the subtorus of T_E such that $T_E^1(\mathbb{Q}) = \{z \in E : z\bar{z} = 1\}$. We consider the amalgamate product $G'' = G \times_Z T_E$, and the morphism $G'' = G \times_Z T_E \xrightarrow{\nu''} T'' = T \times T_E^1$ defined by $(g, z) \mapsto (\nu(g)z\bar{z}, z/\bar{z})$. Consider the subtorus $T' = \mathbb{G}_m \times T_E^1$ of T'', and let G' be the inverse image of T' by the map ν''. The restriction of ν'' to G' is denoted by ν'. Both the derived group of G' and that of G'' are identified with G^{der}, and we have two short exact sequences of algebraic groups

$$1 \longrightarrow G^{\mathrm{der}} \longrightarrow G' \xrightarrow{\nu'} T' \longrightarrow 1$$

and

$$1 \longrightarrow G^{\mathrm{der}} \longrightarrow G'' \xrightarrow{\nu''} T'' \longrightarrow 1.$$

The complex embeddings $\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_g$ of E identify $G''(\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{H}^\times \cdot \mathbb{C}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{H}^\times \cdot \mathbb{C}^\times$. We consider the $G'(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class X' (resp. $G''(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class X'') of the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccl} h': & \mathbb{C}^\times & \to & G'(\mathbb{R}) \subset \\ & & & G''(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{H}^\times \cdot \mathbb{C}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{H}^\times \cdot \mathbb{C}^\times \\ z = x + \sqrt{-1}y & & \mapsto & \left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{smallmatrix}\right]^{-1} \otimes 1, \ 1 \otimes z^{-1}, \ \cdots, \ 1 \otimes z^{-1} \right). \end{array}$$

Let $M' = M(G', X')$ and $M'' = M(G'', X'')$ be the canonical models of the Shimura varieties defined over their reflex field E. There are natural right actions of $G'(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and $G''(\mathbb{A}_f)$ on M' and M'', respectively.

Put $T_{E_0} = \mathrm{Res}^{E_0}_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}_m$. Using the complex embeddings $\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_g$ of E, we identify $T_E(\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathbb{C}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^\times$; similarly via the embedding $x + y\sqrt{-a} \to x + y\rho$ we identify $T_{E_0}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Consider the homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} h_E &: \mathbb{C}^\times \to T_E(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad z \mapsto (z^{-1}, 1, \cdots, 1), \\ h_{E_0} &: \mathbb{C}^\times \to T_{E_0}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^\times, \qquad\qquad\qquad z \mapsto z^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $N_E = M(T_E, h_E)$ and $N_{E_0} = M(T_{E_0}, h_{E_0})$ be the canonical models attached to the pairs (T_E, h_E) and (T_{E_0}, h_{E_0}) respectively. Then N_E is defined over E, and N_{E_0} is defined over E_0.

Consider the homomorphism $\alpha : G \times T_E \to G''$ of algebraic groups inducing

$$B^\times \times E^\times \to G''(\mathbb{Q}) \subset (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E)^\times, \quad (b, e) \mapsto b \otimes \mathrm{N}_{E/E_0}(e)e^{-1}$$

on $\mathbb{Q}$-valued points, and the homomorphism $\beta : G \times T_E \to T_{E_0}$ inducing

$$\mathrm{N}_{E/E_0} \circ \mathrm{pr}_2 : B^\times \times E^\times \to E_0^\times$$

on $\mathbb{Q}$-valued points. Here, N_{E/E_0} denotes the norm map $E^\times \to E_0^\times$. Since $h' = \alpha \circ (h \times h_E)$ and $h_{E_0} = \mathrm{N}_{E/E_0} \circ h_E$, α and β induce morphisms of Shimura varieties $M \times N \to M''$ and $M \times N_E \to N_{E_0}$ again denoted by α and β respectively. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1} & M \times N_E & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M'' & \longleftarrow & M' \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & & & \\ & & N_{E_0}. & & & & \end{array}$$

4.2 Connected components of M, $M \times N_E$, M' and M''

We write $\widetilde{G}$ for $G \times T_E$ and write $\widetilde{M}$ for $M \times N_E$. For $\natural = \tilde{}, \emptyset, \ ', ''$, as B is ramified at $\mathfrak{p}$, there exists a unique maximal compact open subgroup $U^\natural_{p,0}$ of $G^\natural(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then $U'_{p,0} = U''_{p,0} \cap G'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $U''_{p,0} = \alpha(\widetilde{U}_{p,0})$.

If $U^\natural$ is a subgroup of $G^\natural(\mathbb{A}_f)$ of the form $U^\natural_{p,0}U^{\natural,p}$ where $U^{\natural,p}$ is a compact open subgroup of $G^\natural(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$, we will write $M^\natural_{0,U^{\natural,p}}$ for $M^\natural_{U^\natural}$. Let $M^\natural_0$ denote the projective system $(M^\natural_{0,U^{\natural,p}})_{U^{\natural,p}}$; this projective system has a natural right action of $G^\natural(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$.

Lemma 4.1. (a) *For any sufficiently small $U^{\natural,p}$, each geometrically connected component of $M^\natural_{0,U^{\natural,p}}$ is defined over a field that is unramified at all places above p.*

(b) *Let $\widetilde{U}^p$ be a sufficiently small compact open subgroup of $\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$. Then the morphism*

$$\widetilde{M}_{0,\widetilde{U}^p} \to M''_{0,\alpha(\widetilde{U}^p)}$$

induced by α is an isomorphism onto its image when restricted to every geometrically connected component.

Proof. When $U^{\natural,p}$ is sufficiently small, $M^{\natural}_{0,U^{\natural,p}}$ is smooth. Let $\pi_0(M^{\natural}_{0,U^{\natural,p}})$ denote the group of geometrically irreducible components of $M^{\natural}_{0,U^{\natural,p}}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ (which must be connected since $M^{\natural}_{0,U^{\natural,p}}$ is smooth). Then $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/E)$ acts on $\pi_0(M^{\natural}_{0,U^{\natural,p}})$. This action is explicitly described by Deligne [12, Theorem 2.6.3], from which we deduce (a).

As α induces an isomorphism from the derived group of $\widetilde{G}$ to that of G'', by [12, Remark 2.1.16] or [22, Proposition II.2.7] we obtain (b). □

4.3 Modular interpolation of M'

Let $\ell \mapsto \bar{\ell}$ be the involution on $D = B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_0$ that is the product of the canonical involution on B and the complex conjugate on E_0. Choose an invertible symmetric element $\delta \in D$ ($\delta = \bar{\delta}$). Then we have another involution $\ell \mapsto \ell^* := \delta^{-1}\bar{\ell}\delta$ on D.

Let V denote D considered as a left D-module. Let ψ be the non-degenerate alternating form on V defined by $\psi(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{-a}\ \mathrm{Trd}_{D/E}(x\delta y^*))$, where $\mathrm{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$ is the trace map and $\mathrm{Trd}_{D/E}$ is the reduced trace map. For $\ell \in D$ put

$$t(\ell) = \mathrm{tr}(\ell; V_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathrm{Fil}^0 V_{\mathbb{C}})$$

where $\mathrm{Fil}^\bullet$ is the Hodge structure defined by h'. We have

$$t(\ell) = (\tau_1 + \bar{\tau}_1 + 2\tau_2 + \cdots + 2\tau_g)(\mathrm{tr}_{D/E}(\ell))$$

for $\ell \in D$. The subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ generated by $t(\ell)$, $\ell \in D$, is exactly E.

Choose an order $\mathscr{O}_D$ of D, T the corresponding lattice in V. With a suitable choice of δ, we may assume that $\mathscr{O}_D$ is stable by the involution $\ell \mapsto \ell^*$ and that ψ takes integer values on T. Put $\hat{\mathscr{O}}_D := \mathscr{O}_D \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\hat{T} := T \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

In Section 5 when we consider the p-adic uniformization of the Shimura curves, we need to make the following assumption.

Assumption 4.2. We assume that δ is chosen such that $\hat{T}$ is stable by $U'_{p,0}$.

If U' is a sufficiently small compact open subgroup of $G'(\mathbb{A}_f)$ keeping $\hat{T}$, then $M'_{U'}$ represents the following functor $\mathcal{M}_{U'}$ [28, Section 5]:

For any E-algebra R, $\mathcal{M}_{U'}(R)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of quadruples $(A, \iota, \theta, \kappa)$ where

- A is an isomorphism class of abelian schemes over R with an endomorphism $\iota : \mathscr{O}_D \to \mathrm{End}(A)$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(\iota(\ell), \mathrm{Lie}A) = t(\ell)$ for all $\ell \in \mathscr{O}_D$.
- θ is a polarization $A \to \check{A}$ whose associated Rosati involution sends $\iota(\ell)$ to $\iota(\ell^*)$.
- κ is a U'-orbit of $\mathscr{O}_D \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-linear isomorphisms $\hat{T}(A) := \prod_{\ell} T_\ell(A) \to \hat{T}$ such that there exists a $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-linear isomorphism $\kappa' : \hat{T}(1) \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \hat{T}(A) \times \hat{T}(A) & \xrightarrow{(1,\theta_*)} & \hat{T}(A) \times \hat{T}(\check{A}) & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \kappa\times\kappa} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \kappa'} \\ \hat{T} \times \hat{T} & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad \psi\otimes\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \qquad\qquad} & & \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \end{array}$$

commutative.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{U'}$ be the universal $\mathscr{O}_D$-abelian scheme over $M'_{U'}$.

5 p-adic Uniformizations of Shimura curves

5.1 Preliminaries

We provide two simple facts, which will be useful later.

(i) Let X be a scheme with a discrete action of a group C on the right hand side, and let Z be a group that contains C as a normal subgroup of finite index. Fix a set of representatives $\{g_i\}_{i\in C\backslash Z}$ of $C\backslash Z$ in Z. We define a scheme $X *_C Z$ with a right action of Z below. As a scheme $X *_C Z$ is $\bigsqcup_{C\backslash Z} X^{(g_i)}$, where $X^{(g_i)}$ is a copy of X. For any $g \in Z$ and $x^{(g_i)} \in X^{(g_i)}$, if $g_i g = h g_k$ with $h \in C$, then $x^{(g_i)} \cdot g = (x \cdot h)^{(g_k)}$. It is easy to show that up to isomorphism $X *_C Z$ and the right action of Z are independent of the choice of $\{g_i\}_{i\in C\backslash Z}$.

(ii) Let X_1 and X_2 be two schemes whose connected components are all geometrically connected. Suppose that each of X_1 and X_2 has an action of an abelian group Z; Z acts freely on the set of components of X_1 (resp. X_2). Let C be a closed subgroup of Z. Then the Z-actions on X_1 and X_2 induce Z/C-actions on X_1/C and X_2/C.

Lemma 5.1. *If there exists a Z/C-equivariant isomorphism $\gamma : X_1/C \to X_2/C$, then there exists a Z-equivariant isomorphism $\tilde{\gamma} : X_1 \to X_2$ such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} & X_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} \\ X_1/C & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & X_2/C \end{array}$$

is commutative, where π_1 and π_2 are the natural projections.

Proof. We identify X_1/C with X_2/C by γ, and write Y for it. The condition on Z-actions implies that the action of Z/C on the set of connected components of Y is free and that the morphism π_1 (resp. π_2) maps each connected component of X_1 (resp. X_2) isomorphically to its image.

We choose a set of representatives $\{Y_i\}_{i\in I}$ of the Z/C-orbits of components of Y. Then $\{\bar{g}Y_i : \bar{g} \in Z/C, i \in I\}$ are all different connected components of Y. For each $i \in I$ we choose a connected component $\tilde{Y}_i^{(1)}$ (resp. $\tilde{Y}_i^{(2)}$) of X_1 (resp. X_2) that is a lifting of Y_i. Then $\{g\tilde{Y}_i^{(1)} : g \in Z, i \in I\}$ (resp. $\{g\tilde{Y}_i^{(2)} : g \in Z, i \in I\}$) are all different connected components of X_1 (resp. X_2).

As $\pi_1|_{\tilde{Y}_i^{(1)}} : \tilde{Y}_i^{(1)} \to Y_i$ and $\pi_2|_{\tilde{Y}_i^{(2)}} : \tilde{Y}_i^{(2)} \to Y_i$ are isomorphisms, there exists an isomorphism $\tilde{\gamma}_i : \tilde{Y}_i^{(1)} \to \tilde{Y}_i^{(2)}$ such that $\pi_1|_{\tilde{Y}_i^{(1)}} = \pi_2|_{\tilde{Y}_i^{(2)}} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_i$. We define the morphism $\tilde{\gamma} : X^{(1)} \to X^{(2)}$ as follows: $\tilde{\gamma}$ maps $g\tilde{Y}_i^{(1)}$ to $g\tilde{Y}_i^{(2)}$, and $\tilde{\gamma}|_{g\tilde{Y}_i^{(1)}} = g \circ \tilde{\gamma}_i \circ g^{-1}$. Then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a Z-equivariant isomorphism and $\pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ \tilde{\gamma}$. □

5.2 Some Notations

Fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}_p$. Combining the isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}_p$ and the inclusion $E_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $x + y\sqrt{-a} \mapsto x + y\rho$, we obtain an inclusion $E_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $E \hookrightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus $D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is isomorphic to $B_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus B_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Note that $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is isomorphic to $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$, $G'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup

$$\{(a, b) : a, b \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times, \bar{a}b \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times\}$$

of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \times B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, and $G''(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is isomorphic to

$$\{(a,b) : a,b \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}, \bar{a}b \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}\},$$

where $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ is the canonical involution on B. Note that $T_E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is isomorphic to $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \times F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, and $T_{E_0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$. We normalize these isomorphisms such that $G'(\mathbb{Q}_p) \hookrightarrow G''(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ becomes the natural inclusion

$$\{(a,b) : a,b \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}, \bar{a}b \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}\} \hookrightarrow \{(a,b) : a,b \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}, \bar{a}b \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}\},$$

$\alpha : G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times T_E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to G''(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \times (F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \times F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}) &\to \{(a,b) : a,b \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}, \bar{a}b \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}\} \\ (a,(t_1,t_2)) &\mapsto (a\frac{\mathrm{N}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(t_1)}{t_1}, a\frac{\mathrm{N}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(t_2)}{t_2}), \end{aligned}$$

and $\beta : G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times T_E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to T_{E_0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \times (F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \times F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}) &\to \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \\ (a,(t_1,t_2)) &\mapsto (\mathrm{N}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(t_1), \mathrm{N}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(t_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{B}$ be the quaternion algebra over F such that

$$\mathrm{inv}_v(\bar{B}) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{inv}_v(B) & \text{if } v \neq \tau_1, \mathfrak{p}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } v = \tau_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } v = \mathfrak{p}. \end{cases}$$

With $\bar{B}$ instead of B we can define analogues of G, G' and G'', denoted by $\bar{G}$, $\bar{G}'$ and $\bar{G}''$ respectively. For $\natural = \emptyset, ', ''$ we have $\bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f^p) = G^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$; $\bar{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}(2, F_{\mathfrak{p}})$; $\bar{G}'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup

$$\{(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]) : a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in F_{\mathfrak{p}},\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} d_1 & -b_1 \\ -c_1 & a_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}\}$$

of $\mathrm{GL}(2, F_{\mathfrak{p}}) \times \mathrm{GL}(2, F_{\mathfrak{p}})$, and $\bar{G}''(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is isomorphic to

$$\{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right], \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]) : a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in F_{\mathfrak{p}},\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} d_1 & -b_1 \\ -c_1 & a_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}\}.$$

If $\natural = \emptyset$, let $\bar{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ act on $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ as in Section 3. If $\natural = \widetilde{\ }$, let $\widetilde{\bar{G}} = \bar{G} \times T_E$ act on $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ by the projection to the first factor. If $\natural = '$ or $''$, let $\bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ act on $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ by the first factor. Let $\bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q})$ act on $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ via its embedding into $\bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

The center of $\bar{G}^{\natural}$, $Z(\bar{G}^{\natural})$, is naturally isomorphic to the center of $G^{\natural}$, $Z(G^{\natural})$; we denote both of them by $Z^{\natural}$.

5.3 The p-adic uniformizations

Let $\natural$ be either $\widetilde{\ }$, $'$ or $''$. For any compact open subgroup $U^{\natural,p}$ of $G^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$, let $X^{\natural}_{U^{\natural,p}}$ denote $M^{\natural}_{0,U^{\natural,p}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(F_{\mathfrak{p}})} \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}})$.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that Assumption 4.2 holds.*

(a) *Assume that $\natural = {}^{\sim}, '$ or $''$. For any sufficiently small compact open subgroup $U^{\natural,p}$ of $G^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$, writing $U^{\natural} = U^{\natural}_{p,0}U^{\natural p}$, we have a $Z^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash Z^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f)/(Z^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f)\cap U^{\natural})$-equivariant isomorphism*

$$X^{\natural}_{U^{\natural,p}} \cong \bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \times G^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f)/U^{\natural}). \tag{5.1}$$

Here, $\bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ as mentioned above and acts on $G^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)/U^{\natural,p}$ by the embedding $\bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f^p) \xrightarrow{\simeq} G^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$; in the case of $\natural = '$ or $''$, if $g \in \bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q})$ satisfies $g_p = (a,b)$ with $a, b \in \mathrm{GL}(2, F_{\mathfrak{p}})$, then g acts on $G^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/U^{\natural}_{p,0}$ via the left multiplication by $(\Pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\det a)}, \Pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\det b)})$; while, in the case of $\natural = {}^{\sim}$, $\widetilde{g} = (g,t) \in \widetilde{\bar{G}}(\mathbb{Q})$ $(g \in G(\mathbb{Q}), t \in T_E(\mathbb{Q}))$ acts on $\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\widetilde{U}_{p,0}$ via the left multiplication by $(\Pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\det g_p)}, t_p)$; the group $Z^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash Z^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f)/(Z^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f)\cap U^{\natural})$ acts on the right hand side of (5.1) by right multiplications on $\bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

(b) *The isomorphisms in (a) can be chosen such that, for either $\sharp = {}^{\sim}$ and $\natural = ''$, or $\sharp = '$ and $\natural = ''$, we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\sharp}_{U^{\sharp,p}} & \longrightarrow & \bar{G}^{\sharp}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \times G^{\sharp}(\mathbb{A}_f)/U^{\sharp}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X^{\natural}_{U^{\natural,p}} & \longrightarrow & \bar{G}^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \times G^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f)/U^{\natural}) \end{array}$$

compatible with the $Z^{\sharp}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash Z^{\sharp}(\mathbb{A}_f)/(Z^{\sharp}(\mathbb{A}_f)\cap U^{\sharp})$-actions on the upper and the $Z^{\natural}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash Z^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f)/(Z^{\natural}(\mathbb{A}_f)\cap U^{\natural})$-actions on the lower, where the left vertical arrow is induced from the morphism $M^{\sharp} \to M^{\natural}$, and the right vertical arrow is induced by the identity morphism $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ and the homomorphism $\alpha : \widetilde{G} = G \times T_E \to G''$ or the inclusion $G' \hookrightarrow G''$. Here, in the case of $\sharp = {}^{\sim}$ and $\natural = ''$, $U^{\natural} = \alpha(U^{\sharp})$; in the case of $\sharp = '$ and $\natural = ''$, $U^{\sharp} = U^{\natural} \cap G'(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

The conclusions of Proposition 5.2 especially (a) are well known [27, 37]. However, the author has no reference for (b), so we provide some detail of the proof.

Proof. Assertion (a) in the case of $\natural = '$ comes from [27, Theorem 6.50].

For the case of $\sharp = '$ and $\natural = ''$ we put

$$C = Z'(\mathbb{Q})\backslash Z'(\mathbb{A}_f)/(Z'(\mathbb{A}_f)\cap U')$$

and

$$Z = Z''(\mathbb{Q})\backslash Z''(\mathbb{A}_f)/(Z''(\mathbb{A}_f)\cap U'').$$

Then $X''_{U''^p}$ is Z-equivariantly isomorphic to $X'_{U'^p} *_C Z$, and $\bar{G}''(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \times G''(\mathbb{A}_f)/U'')$ is Z-equivariantly isomorphic to $\left(\bar{G}'(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \times G'(\mathbb{A}_f)/U')\right) *_C Z$. So (a) in the case of $\natural = ''$ and (b) in the case of $\sharp = '$, $\natural = ''$ follow.

Now we consider the rest cases. Let H be the kernel of the homomorphism $\alpha : \widetilde{G} = G \times T_E \to G''$. Put

$$C = H(\mathbb{Q})\backslash H(\mathbb{A}_f)/(H(\mathbb{A}_f)\cap \widetilde{U}), \quad Z = \widetilde{Z}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash \widetilde{Z}(\mathbb{A}_f)/(\widetilde{Z}(\mathbb{A}_f)\cap \widetilde{U}).$$

Put $X_1 = \widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{U}^p}$ and $X_2 = \widetilde{\bar{G}}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\rm ur}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \times \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)/\widetilde{U}_{p,0}\widetilde{U}^p)$. By Lemma 4.1 (a), all connected components of X_1 are geometrically connected; it is obvious that all connected components of X_2 are geometrically connected. Thus Z acts freely on the set of components of X_1 (resp. X_2). Furthermore X_1/C is isomorphic to $X''_{\alpha(\widetilde{U}^p)}$, and X_2/C is isomorphic to $\bar{G}''(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\rm ur}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \times G''(\mathbb{A}_f)/U''_{p,0}\alpha(\widetilde{U}^p))$. We have already proved that X_1/C is Z/C-equivariantly isomorphic to X_2/C. Applying Lemma 5.1 we obtain (a) in the case of $\natural = \tilde{}$ and (b) in the case of $\sharp = \tilde{}, \natural = ''$. □

Remark 5.3. By [37] the similar conclusion of Proposition 5.2 (a) holds for the case of $\natural = \emptyset$. We use X_{U^p} to denote $\bar{G}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\rm ur}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \times G(\mathbb{A}_f)/U_{p,0}U^p)$, where the action of $\bar{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\rm ur}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \times G(\mathbb{A}_f)/U_{p,0}U^p$ is defined similarly.

6 Local systems and the associated filtered φ_q-isocrystals on Shimura Curves

6.1 Local systems on Shimura curves

We choose a number field L splitting F and B. We identify $\{\tau_i : F \to L\}$ with $I = \{\tau_i : F \to \mathbb{C}\}$ by the inclusion $L \to \mathbb{C}$. Fix an isomorphism $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} B = M(2, L)^I$. Then we have a natural inclusion $G(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, L)^I$. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a place of L above $\mathfrak{p}$.

For a multiweight $\mathrm{k} = (k_1, \cdots, k_g, w)$ with $k_1 \equiv \cdots k_g \equiv w \mod 2$ and $k_1 \geq 2, \cdots, k_g \geq 2$, we define the morphism $\rho^{(\mathrm{k})} : G \to \mathrm{GL}(n, L)$ $(n = \prod_{i=1}^g (k_i - 1))$ to be the product $\otimes_{i\in I}[(\mathrm{Sym}^{k_i-2} \otimes \det^{(w-k_i)/2}) \circ \check{pr}_i]$. Here $\check{pr}_i$ denotes the contragradient representation of the ith projection $pr_i : \mathrm{GL}(2, L)^I \to \mathrm{GL}(2, L)$. The algebraic group denoted by G^c in [22, Chapter III] is the quotient of G by $\ker(\mathrm{N}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} : F^\times \to \mathbb{Q}^\times)$. As the restriction of $\rho^{(\mathrm{k})}$ to the center $F^\times$ is the scalar multiplication by $\mathrm{N}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}^{-(w-2)}(\cdot)$, $\rho^{(\mathrm{k})}$ factors through G^c, so we can attach to the representation $\rho^{(\mathrm{k})}$ a $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$-equivariant smooth $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k})$ on M.

Let $p_2 : G''_{E_0} \to G_{E_0}$ be the map induced by the second projection on $(D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_0)^\times = D^\times \times D^\times$ corresponding to the conjugate $E_0 \to E_0$. As the algebraic representation $\rho''^{(\mathrm{k})} = \rho^{(\mathrm{k})} \circ p_2$ factors through G''^c, we can attach to it a $G''(\mathbb{A}_f)$-equivariant smooth $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}''(\mathrm{k})$ on M''. Let $\mathcal{F}'(\mathrm{k})$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{F}''(\mathrm{k})$ to M'.

We define a charcater $\bar{\chi} : T_0 \to \mathbb{G}_m$ such that on $\mathbb{C}$-valued point $\bar{\chi}$ is the inverse of the second projection $T_{0\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\mathcal{F}(\bar{\chi})$ be the $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$-sheaf attached to the representation $\bar{\chi}$. By [28] one has the following $G(\mathbb{A}_f) \times T(\mathbb{A}_f)$-equivariant isomorphism of $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$-sheaves

$$\mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k}) \simeq \alpha^* \mathcal{F}''(\mathrm{k}) \otimes \beta^* \mathcal{F}(\bar{\chi}^{-1})^{\otimes(g-1)(w-2)} \tag{6.1}$$

on $M \times N$, where pr_1 is the projection $M \times N \to M$.

Note that $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} D \simeq (\mathrm{M}_2(L) \times \mathrm{M}_2(L))^I$. For each $i \in I$, the first component $\mathrm{M}_2(L)$ corresponds to the embedding $E_0 \subset L \subset \mathbb{C}$ and the second $\mathrm{M}_2(L)$ to its conjugate. Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be the local system $R^1 g_* L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ where $g : \mathcal{A} \to M'$ is the universal $\mathscr{O}_D$-abelian scheme; it is a sheaf of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} D$-modules. For each $i \in I$, let $e_i \in L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} D$ be the idempotent whose $(2, i)$-th component is a rank one idempotent e.g. $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and the other components are zero. Let $\mathcal{F}'_i$ denote the e_i-part $e_i \cdot R^1 g_* L_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Note that $\mathcal{F}'_i$ does not depend on the choice of the rank one idempotent. By [28] we have an isomorphism of

local systems

$$\mathcal{F}'(\mathrm{k}) = \bigotimes_{i\in I}\Big(\mathrm{Sym}^{k_i-2}\mathcal{F}'_i \bigotimes (\det \mathcal{F}'_i)^{(w-k_i)/2}\Big). \tag{6.2}$$

We can define more local systems on M'. For $(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v}) = (k_1,\cdots,k_g;v_1,\cdots,v_g)$, let $\mathcal{F}'(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v})$ be the local system $\bigotimes_{i\in I}\Big(\mathrm{Sym}^{k_i-2}\mathcal{F}'_i \bigotimes (\det \mathcal{F}'_i)^{v_i}\Big)$.

6.2 Filtered φ_q-isocrystals associated to the local systems

We use $\tilde{\mathrm{k}}$ uniformly to denote $(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v}) = (k_1,\cdots,k_g;v_1,\cdots,v_g)$ (resp. $\mathrm{k} = (k_1,\cdots,k_g,w)$) in the case of $\natural = '$ (resp. $\natural = \emptyset, ', ''$).

We shall need the filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})$. However we do not know how to compute it. Instead, we compute that attached to $\mathrm{pr}_1^*\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})$. As a middle step we determine the filtered φ_q-isocrystals associated to $\mathcal{F}'(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})$ and $\mathcal{F}''(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})$.

For any integers k and v with $k\geq 2$, and any inclusion $\sigma: F_{\mathfrak{p}}\to L_{\mathfrak{P}}$, let $V_\sigma(k,v)$ be the space of homogeneous polynomials in two variables X_σ and Y_σ of degree $k-2$ with coefficients in $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$; let $\mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ act on $V_\sigma(k,v)$ by

$$\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & b\\ c & d\end{smallmatrix}\right] P(X_\sigma,Y_\sigma) = \sigma(ad-bc)^v P(\sigma(a)X_\sigma+\sigma(c)Y_\sigma,\sigma(b)X_\sigma+\sigma(d)Y_\sigma).$$

For $(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v}) = (k_1,\cdots,k_g;v_1,\cdots,v_g)$ we put

$$V(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v}) = \bigotimes_{\sigma\in I} V_\sigma(k_\sigma,v_\sigma)$$

where the tensor product is taken over $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$.

Let $\bar{G}^\natural$ $(\natural = \emptyset, ', '', \tilde{\ })$ be the groups defined in Section 5.2. For $\natural = \tilde{\ }$, via the projection $\bar{G}^\natural(\mathbb{Q}_p)\to \mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$, $V(\mathrm{k})$ becomes a $\bar{G}^\natural(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-module. For $\natural = ', ''$, via the projection of $\bar{G}^\natural(\mathbb{Q}_p)\subset \mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})\times\mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ to the second factor, $V(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})$ becomes a $\bar{G}^\natural(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-module. In each case via the inclusion $\bar{G}^\natural(\mathbb{Q})\hookrightarrow \bar{G}^\natural(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $V(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})$ becomes a $\bar{G}^\natural(\mathbb{Q})$-module. Using the p-adic uniformization of $X^\natural = X^\natural_{U^\natural,p}$ we attach to this $\bar{G}^\natural(\mathbb{Q})$-module a local system $\mathcal{V}^\natural(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})$ on $X^\natural$.

Let $\varphi_{q,\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v}}$ be the operator on $V(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v})$

$$\bigotimes_\sigma P_\sigma(X_\sigma,Y_\sigma)\mapsto \prod_\sigma \sigma(-\pi)^{v_\sigma}\cdot\bigotimes_\sigma P_\sigma(Y_\sigma,\sigma(\pi)X_\sigma).$$

For $\mathrm{k} = (k_1,\cdots,k_g,w)$ we put

$$V(\mathrm{k}) = V(k_1,\cdots,k_g;(w-k_1)/2,\cdots,(w-k_g)/2)$$

and

$$\varphi_{q,\mathrm{k}} = \varphi_{q,(k_1,\cdots,k_g;(w-k_1)/2,\cdots,(w-k_g)/2)}.$$

Let $\mathscr{F}^\natural(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})$ be the filtered φ_q-isocrystal $\mathcal{V}^\natural(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{O}_{X^\natural}$ on $X^\natural$ with the q-Frobenius $\varphi_{q,\tilde{\mathrm{k}}}\otimes\varphi_{q,\mathscr{O}_{X^\natural}}$ and the connection $1\otimes \mathrm{d}: \mathcal{V}^\natural(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{O}_{X^\natural}\to \mathcal{V}^\natural(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\Omega^1_{X^\natural}$; the filtration on

$$\mathcal{V}^\natural(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{O}_{X^\natural} = \bigoplus_{\tau:F_{\mathfrak{p}}\hookrightarrow L_{\mathfrak{P}}} \mathcal{V}^\natural(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})\otimes_{\tau,F_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathscr{O}_{X^\natural} \tag{6.3}$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Fil}^{j+v_\tau}(\mathcal{V}^\natural(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})\otimes_{\tau,F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{X^\natural})\\ =\ &\begin{cases}\mathcal{V}^\natural(\tilde{\mathrm{k}})\otimes_{\tau,F_\mathfrak{p}}\mathscr{O}_{X^\natural} & \text{if } j\le 0,\\ \text{the } \mathscr{O}_{X^\natural}\text{-submodule locally generated by polynomials} & \\ \qquad\qquad \text{in } V(\tilde{\mathrm{k}}) \text{ divided by } (zX_\tau+Y_\tau)^j & \text{if } 1\le j\le k_\tau-2\\ 0 & \text{if } j\ge k_\tau-1\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

with the convention that $v_\tau=\frac{w-k_\tau}{2}$ in the case of $\tilde{\mathrm{k}}=(k_1,\cdots,k_g,w)$, where z is the canonical coordinate on $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Lemma 6.1. *When $k_1=\cdots=k_{i-1}=k_{i+1}=\cdots=k_g=2$, $k_i=3$, and $v_1=\cdots=v_g=0$, the filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\mathcal{F}'(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v})$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{F}'(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v})$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{e}_i\in L\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}D$ be the idempotent whose $(2,i)$-th component is $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and the other component are zero. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the universal $\mathscr{O}_D$-abelian scheme over M', $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ the formal module on X' attached to $\mathcal{A}$. Note that $\tilde{e}_i(\mathfrak{o}_{L_\mathfrak{P}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ is just the pullback of $\mathfrak{o}_{L_\mathfrak{P}}\otimes_{\tau_i,\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}\mathcal{G}$ by the projection $X'_{U'^p}\to(\bar{G}'(\mathbb{Q})\cap U'^pU'_{p,0})\backslash\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}$ [27, 6.43], where $\mathcal{G}$ is the universal special formal $\mathscr{O}_{B_\mathfrak{p}}$-module (forgetting the information of ρ in Drinfeld's moduli problem).

As $L_\mathfrak{P}$ splits $B_\mathfrak{p}$, $L_\mathfrak{P}$ contains all embeddings of $F_\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$. The embedding $\tau_i:F_\mathfrak{p}\hookrightarrow L_\mathfrak{P}$ extends in two ways to $F_\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$ denoted respectively by $\tau_{i,0}$ and $\tau_{i,1}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{o}_{L_\mathfrak{P}}\otimes_{\tau_i,\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}\mathfrak{o}_{B_\mathfrak{p}}=\mathfrak{o}_{L_\mathfrak{P}}\otimes_{\tau_{i,0},\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}}}\mathfrak{o}_{B_\mathfrak{p}}\bigoplus\mathfrak{o}_{L_\mathfrak{P}}\otimes_{\tau_{i,1},\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}}}\mathfrak{o}_{B_\mathfrak{p}}.$$

We decompose $\mathfrak{o}_{L_\mathfrak{P}}\otimes_{\tau_i,\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}}\mathcal{G}$ into the sum of two direct summands according to the action of $\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}}\subset\mathfrak{o}_{B_\mathfrak{p}}$: $\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}}$ acts by $\tau_{i,0}$ on the first direct summand, and acts by $\tau_{i,1}$ on the second. Without loss of generality we may assume that e_i in the definition of $\mathcal{F}'_i$ (see Section 6.1) is chosen such that e_i is the projection onto the first direct summand. So $e_i(\mathfrak{o}_{L_\mathfrak{P}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ is just the pullback of $\mathfrak{o}_{L_\mathfrak{P}}\otimes_{\tau_{i,0},\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}}}\mathcal{G}$ by the projection $X'_{U'^p}\to(\bar{G}'(\mathbb{Q})\cap U'^pU'_{p,0})\backslash\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}$. Now the statement of our lemma follows from the discussion in Section 3.2. □

Proposition 6.2. *The filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\mathcal{F}'(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v})$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{F}'(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{F}'_i$ denote the filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\mathcal{F}'_i$. By (6.4) the filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\mathcal{F}'(\mathrm{k},\mathrm{v})$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigotimes_{i\in I}\left(\mathrm{Sym}^{k_i-2}\mathscr{F}'_i\bigotimes(\det\mathscr{F}'_i)^{(w-k_i)/2}\right).\tag{6.4}$$

By Lemma 6.1 a simple computation implies our conclusion. □

Corollary 6.3. *The filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\mathcal{F}''(\mathrm{k})$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{F}''(\mathrm{k})$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 6.2 and [28, Lemma 6.1]. □

Lemma 6.4. *The filtered φ_q-isocrystal associated to the local system $\mathcal{F}(\bar{\chi})$ over $(N_{E_0,0})_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}$ is $\mathcal{F}(\bar{\chi})\otimes\mathscr{O}_{(N_{E_0,0})_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}}$ with the q-Frobenius being $1\otimes\varphi_{q,(N_{E_0,0})_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_\mathfrak{p}}}}$ and the filtration being trivial.*

Proof. We only need to show that any geometric point of $(N_{E_0,0})_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ is defined over $\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$.

Let h_{E_0} be as in Section 4.1, μ the cocharacter of T_0 defined over E_0 attached to h_{E_0}. Let r be the composition

$$\mathbb{A}_{E_0}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\mu} T_0(\mathbb{A}_{E_0}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E_0}} T_0(\mathbb{A}).$$

Let

$$\mathrm{art}_{E_0} : \mathbb{A}_{E_0}^{\times} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(E_0^{\mathrm{ab}}/E_0)$$

be the reciprocal of the reciprocity map from class field theory. For any compact open subgroup U of $T_0(\mathbb{A}_f)$, $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/E_0)$ acts on $(N_{E_0})_U(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) = T_0(\mathbb{Q})\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_f)/U$ by $\sigma(T_0(\mathbb{Q})aU) = T_0(\mathbb{Q})r_f(s_\sigma)aU$, where s_σ is any idèle such that $\mathrm{art}_{E_0}(s_\sigma) = \sigma|E^{\mathrm{ab}}$, and r_f is the composition

$$\mathbb{A}_{E_0}^{\times} \to T_0(\mathbb{A}) \to T_0(\mathbb{A}_f)$$

of r and the projection map $T_0(\mathbb{A}) \to T_0(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/E_0)$ consisting of σ such that $s_\sigma \in r_f^{-1}(U)$. Put $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathcal{I}}$. Then any geometric point of $(N_{E_0})_U$ is defined over $\mathcal{K}$. Observe that, when U is of the form $U_{p,0}U^p$ with U^p a compact open subgroup of $T_0(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ and $U_{p,0}$ the maximal compact open subgroup of $T_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\mathcal{K}$ is unramified over p. Therefore, any geometric point of $(N_{E_0,0})_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ is already defined over $\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$. □

Corollary 6.5. *The filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\mathrm{pr}_1^*\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k})$ is $\mathrm{pr}_1^*\mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})$.*

Proof. By (6.1) the filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\mathrm{pr}_1^*\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k})$ is the tensor product of the filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\alpha^*\mathcal{F}''(\mathrm{k})$ and that attached to $\beta^*\mathcal{F}(\bar{\chi}^{-1})^{(g-1)(w-2)}$. Our conclusion follows from Proposition 5.2 (b) (in the case of $\sharp = \tilde{}$ and $\natural = ''$), Corollary 6.3 and Lemma 6.4. □

It is rather possible that the filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k})$ is $\mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})$. But the author does not know how to descent the conclusion of Corollary 6.5 to X_{U^p}.

7 The de Rham cohomology

7.1 Covering filtration and Hodge filtration for de Rham cohomology

We fix an arithmetic Schottky group Γ that is cocompact in $\mathrm{PGL}(2, F_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Then Γ acts freely on $\mathcal{H}$, and the quotient $X_\Gamma = \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{H}$ is the rigid analytic space associated with a proper smooth curve over $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Here we write $\mathcal{H}$ for $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$.

We recall the theory of de Rham cohomology of local systems over X_Γ [30, 31, 32, 33].

We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ the canonical formal model of $\mathcal{H}$. The curve X_Γ has a canonical semistable module $\mathcal{X}_\Gamma = \Gamma\backslash\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$; the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma,s}$ of $\mathcal{X}_\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma\backslash\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_s$.

The graph $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma,s})$ is closely related to the Bruhat-Tits tree $\mathcal{T}$ for $\mathrm{PGL}(2, F_{\mathfrak{p}})$. The group Γ acts freely on the tree $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma$ denote the quotient tree. The set of connected components of the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma,s}$ is in one-to-one correspondence to the set $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)$ of vertices of $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma$, each component being isomorphic to the projective line over k(=the resider field of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$). Write $\{\mathrm{P}_v^1\}_{v\in\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)}$ for the set of components of $\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma,s}$. The singular points of $\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma,s}$ are ordinary k-rational double singular points; they correspond to (unoriented) edges of $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma$. Two components P_u^1 and P_v^1 intersect if and only if u and v are adjacent; in this case, they intersect at a singular point. For simplicity we will

use the edge e joining u and v to denote this singular point. There is a reduction map from X_Γ^{an} to $\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma,s}$. For a closed subset U of $\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma,s}$ let $]U[$ denote the tube of U in X_Γ^{an}. Then $\{]\mathrm{P}^1_v[\}_{v\in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)}$ is an admissible covering of X_Γ^{an}. Clearly $]\mathrm{P}^1_{o(e)}[\cap]\mathrm{P}^1_{t(e)}[=]e[$.

Let L be a field that splits $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Fix an embedding $\tau : F_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow L$. Let V be an $L[\Gamma]$-module that comes from an algebraic representation of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ of the form $V(\mathrm{k})$ with $\mathrm{k} = (k_1, \cdots, k_g; 2)$. We will regard V as an $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-vector space by τ. Let $\mathscr{V} = \mathscr{V}(\mathrm{k})$ be the local system on X_Γ associated with V. Let $H^*_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V})$ be the hypercohomology of the complex $\mathscr{V} \otimes_{\tau,F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \Omega^\bullet_{X_\Gamma}$.

We consider the Mayer-Vietorus exact sequence attached to $H^*_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V})$ with respect to the admissible covering $\{]\mathrm{P}^1_v[\}_{v\in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)}$. As a result we obtain an injective map

$$\iota : (\bigoplus_{e\in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)} H^0_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(]e[, \mathscr{V}))^- / \bigoplus_{v\in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)} H^0_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(]\mathrm{P}^1_v[, \mathscr{V}) \hookrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathscr{V}).$$

As $]\mathrm{P}^1_v[$ and $]e[$ are quasi-Stein, a simple computation shows that $H^0_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(]\mathrm{P}^1_v[, \mathscr{V})$ and $H^0_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(]e[, \mathscr{V})$ are isomorphic to V. Let $C^0(V)$ be the space of V-valued functions on $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T})$, $C^1(V)$ the space of V-valued functions on $\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T})$ such that $f(e) = -f(\bar{e})$. Let Γ act on $C^i(V)$ as $f \mapsto \gamma \circ f \circ \gamma^{-1}$. Then we have a Γ-equivariant short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow C^0(V) \xrightarrow{\partial} C^1(V) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{7.1}$$

where $\partial(f)(e) = f(o(e)) - f(t(e))$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{v\in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)} H^0_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(]\mathrm{P}^1_v[, \mathscr{V}) &\cong C^0(V)^\Gamma, \\ (\bigoplus_{e\in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)} H^0_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(]e[, \mathscr{V}))^- &\cong C^1(V)^\Gamma \end{aligned}$$

and the map

$$\bigoplus_{v\in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)} H^0_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(]\mathrm{P}^1_v[, \mathscr{V}) \to (\bigoplus_{e\in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)} H^0_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(]e[, \mathscr{V}))^-$$

coincides with ∂. Thus

$$(\bigoplus_{e\in \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)} H^0_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(]e[, \mathscr{V}))^- / \bigoplus_{v\in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)} H^0_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(]\mathrm{P}^1_v[, \mathscr{V})$$

is isomorphic to $C^1(V)^\Gamma / \partial C^0(V)^\Gamma$. From (7.1) we get the injective map

$$\delta : C^1(V)^\Gamma / \partial C^0(V)^\Gamma \hookrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, V).$$

Let $C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V)$ be the space of harmonic forms

$$C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V) := \{f : \mathrm{Edge}(\mathcal{T}) \to V | f(e) = -f(\bar{e}),\ \forall\ v, \sum_{t(e)=v} f(e) = 0\}.$$

Fixing some $v \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T})$, let ϵ be the map $C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V)^\Gamma \to H^1(\Gamma, V)$ [7, (2.26)] defined by

$$c \mapsto (\gamma \mapsto \sum_{e: v\to\gamma v} c(e)), \tag{7.2}$$

where the sum runs over the edges joining v and γv; ϵ does not depend on the choice of v. By [7, Appendix A] ϵ is minus the composition

$$C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V)^\Gamma \to C^1(V)^\Gamma/\partial C^0(V)^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\Gamma, V),$$

and is an isomorphism. Combining this with the injectivity of δ we obtain that both the natural map $C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V)^\Gamma \to C^1(V)^\Gamma/\partial C^0(V)^\Gamma$ and δ are isomorphisms. Below, we will identify $C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V)^\Gamma$ with $C^1(V)^\Gamma/\partial C^0(V)^\Gamma$.

By [33] we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V}) \cong \{&V\text{-valued differentials of second kind on } X_\Gamma\}/\\ &\{df | f \text{ a } V\text{-valued meromorphic function on } X_\Gamma\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

In loc. cit, de Shalit only considered a special case, but his argument is valued for our general case. If ω is a Γ-invariant V-valued differential of the second kind on $\mathcal{H}$, let F_ω be a primitive of it [32], which is defined by Coleman's integral [8]. [3] Let P be the map

$$P : H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V}) \to H^1(\Gamma, V), \quad \omega \mapsto (\gamma \mapsto \gamma(F_\omega) - F_\omega).$$

Note that $P \circ \iota$ coincides with δ. Thus P splits the inclusion $\iota \circ \delta^{-1} : H^1(\Gamma, V) \to H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V})$.

Let I be the map

$$I : H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V}) \to C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V)^\Gamma, \omega \mapsto (e \mapsto \mathrm{Res}_e(\omega)).$$

Now, we suppose that Γ is of the form in [7, Appendix A]. We do not describe it precisely, but only point out that $\Gamma_{i,0}$ in Section 7.2 is of this form.

Proposition 7.1. *We have an exact sequence called the covering filtration exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, V) \xrightarrow{\iota\circ\delta^{-1}} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V}) \xrightarrow{I} C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V)^\Gamma \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. What we need to prove is that the map

$$H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V}) \to H^1(\Gamma, V) \oplus C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V)^\Gamma \quad \omega \mapsto (P(\omega), I(\omega))$$

is an isomorphism. When V is the trivial module, this is already proved in [33]. So we assume that V is not the trivial module. First we prove the injectivity of the above map. For this we only need to repeat the argument in [33, Theorem 1.6]. Let ω be a Γ-invariant differential form of second kind on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P([\omega]) = I([\omega]) = 0$, where $[\omega]$ denotes the class of ω in $H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V})$. Let F_ω be a primitive of ω. As $I(\omega) = 0$, the residues of ω vanish, and thus F_ω is meromorphic. As $P(\omega) = 0$, we may adjust F_ω by a constant so that it is Γ-invariant. By (7.3) we have $[\omega] = 0$. To show the surjectivity we only need to compare the dimensions. By [7, Appendix A] we have

$$\dim_{F_\mathfrak{p}} C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V)^\Gamma = \dim_{F_\mathfrak{p}} H^1(\Gamma, V)$$

and

$$\dim_{F_\mathfrak{p}} H^1(\Gamma, V) = \dim_{F_\mathfrak{p}} H^1(\Gamma, V^*)$$

[3] Precisely we choose a branch of Coleman's integral.

where $V^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}(V, F_\mathfrak{p})$ is the dual $F_\mathfrak{p}[\Gamma]$-module. By [30, Theorem 1] we have

$$\dim_{F_\mathfrak{p}} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V}) = \dim_{F_\mathfrak{p}} H^1(\Gamma, V) + \dim_{F_\mathfrak{p}} H^1(\Gamma, V^*).$$

Hence

$$\dim_{F_\mathfrak{p}} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V}) = \dim_{F_\mathfrak{p}} C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V)^\Gamma + \dim_{F_\mathfrak{p}} H^1(\Gamma, V),$$

as desired. □

We have also a Hodge filtration exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V} \otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p},\tau} \Omega^1_{X_\Gamma}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V}) \longrightarrow H^1(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V} \otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p},\tau} \mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This exact sequence and the covering filtration exact sequence fit into the commutative diagram



The diagonal arrows are isomorphisms. Indeed, by [32, Section 3] the south-west arrow is an isomorphism; one easily deduces from this that the north-east arrow is also an isomorphism. In particular, we have a Hodge-like decomposition

$$H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V}) = H^0(X_\Gamma, \mathscr{V} \otimes_{F_\mathfrak{p},\tau} \Omega^1_{X_\Gamma}) \bigoplus \iota \circ \delta^{-1}(H^1(\Gamma, V)). \tag{7.4}$$

7.2 De Rham cohomology of $\mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})$

Let $\bar{B}$ be as in Section 5.2. Write $\widehat{\bar{B}}^\times := (\bar{B} \bigotimes \mathbb{A}_f)^\times$ and $\widehat{\bar{B}}^{p,\times} := (\bar{B} \bigotimes \mathbb{A}_f^p)^\times$. Let U be a compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ that is of the form $U_{p,0}U^p$. We identify $U^p = \prod_{\mathfrak{l} \neq \mathfrak{p}} U_\mathfrak{l}$ with a subgroup of $\widehat{\bar{B}}^{p,\times}$.

Write $\widehat{\bar{B}}^{p,\times} = \sqcup_{i=1}^h \bar{B}^\times x_i U^p$. For $i = 1, \cdots, h$, put

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_i = \{\gamma \in \bar{B}^\times : \gamma_\mathfrak{l} \in (x_i)_\mathfrak{l} U_\mathfrak{l} (x_i)_\mathfrak{l}^{-1} \text{ for } \mathfrak{l} \neq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Then X_{U^p} is isomorphic to

$$\bar{B}^\times \backslash (\mathcal{H} \times G(\mathbb{Q}_p)/U_{p,0} \times \widehat{\bar{B}}^{p,\times}/U^p) \cong \sqcup_{i=1}^h \widetilde{\Gamma}_i \backslash (\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{Z}).$$

Here we identify $\mathbb{Z}$ with $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)/U_{p,0}$. Note that $\widetilde{\Gamma}_i$ acts transitively on $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)/U_{p,0}$.

Note that, for every point in $\mathbb{Z} = G(\mathbb{Q}_p)/U_{p,0}$ it is fixed by $\gamma \in \bar{B}^\times$ if and only if $\gamma_\mathfrak{p}$ is in $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathscr{O}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Gamma}_{i,0} &= \{\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_i : |\det(\gamma_\mathfrak{p})|_\mathfrak{p} = 1\} \\ &= \{\gamma \in \bar{B}^\times : \gamma_\mathfrak{l} \in (x_i)_\mathfrak{l} U_\mathfrak{l} (x_i)_\mathfrak{l}^{-1} \text{ for } \mathfrak{l} \neq \mathfrak{p} \text{ and } |\det(\gamma_\mathfrak{p})|_\mathfrak{p} = 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma_{i,0}$ be the image of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{i,0}$ in $\mathrm{PGL}(2, F_\mathfrak{p})$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$X_{U^p} \cong \sqcup_{i=1}^h \Gamma_{i,0} \backslash \mathcal{H}. \tag{7.5}$$

Applying the constructions in Section 7.1 to each part $\Gamma_{i,0}\backslash\mathcal{H}$ of X_{U^p}, we obtain operators ι, P and I.

8 Automorphic Forms on totally definite quaternion algebras and Teitelbaum type L-invariants

In this section we recall Chida, Mok and Park's definition of Teitelbaum type L-invariant [7].

8.1 Automorphic Forms on totally definite quaternion algebras

We recall the theory of automorphic forms on totally definite quaternion algebras.

Let $\bar{B}$ be as in Section 5, which is a totally definite quaternion algebra over F. Let $\Sigma = \prod_\mathfrak{l} \Sigma_\mathfrak{l}$ be a compact open subgroup of $\widehat{\bar{B}}^\times$.

Let $\chi_{F,\mathrm{cyc}} : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the Hecke character obtained by composing the cyclotomic character $\chi_{\mathbb{Q},\mathrm{cyc}} : \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^\times \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and the norm map from $\mathbb{A}_F^\times$ to $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}^\times$.

Definition 8.1. An *automorphic form* on $\bar{B}^\times$, of *weight* $\mathrm{k} = (k_1, \cdots, k_g, w)$ and *level* Σ, is a function $\mathbf{f} : \widehat{\bar{B}}^\times \to V(\mathrm{k})$ that satisfies

$$\mathbf{f}(z\gamma b u) = \chi_{F,\mathrm{cyc}}^{2-w}(z)(u_p^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{f}(b))$$

for all $\gamma \in \bar{B}^\times$, $u \in \Sigma$, $b \in \widehat{\bar{B}}^\times$ and $z \in \widehat{F}^\times$. Denote by $S_\mathrm{k}^{\bar{B}}(\Sigma)$ the space of such forms. Remark that our $S_\mathrm{k}^{\bar{B}}(\Sigma)$ coincides with $S_{\mathrm{k}',\mathrm{v}}^{\bar{B}}(\Sigma)$ for $\mathrm{k}' = (k_1 - 2, \cdots, k_g - 2)$ and $\mathrm{v} = (\frac{w-k_1}{2}, \frac{w-k_2}{2}, \cdots, \frac{w-k_g}{2})$ in [7].

Observe that a form $\mathbf{f}$ of level Σ is determined by its values on the finite set $\bar{B}^\times \backslash \widehat{\bar{B}}^\times / \Sigma$. As in Section 7.2 write $\widehat{\bar{B}}^\times = \sqcup_{i=1}^h \bar{B}^\times x_i \mathrm{GL}(2, F_\mathfrak{p}) \Sigma$; for $i = 1, \cdots, h$, put

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_i = \{\gamma \in \bar{B}^\times : \gamma_\mathfrak{l} \in (x_i)_\mathfrak{l} \Sigma_\mathfrak{l} (x_i)_\mathfrak{l}^{-1} \text{ for } \mathfrak{l} \neq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Then we have a bijection

$$\sqcup_{i=1}^h \widetilde{\Gamma}_i \backslash \mathrm{GL}(2, F_\mathfrak{p}) / \Sigma_\mathfrak{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{B}^\times \backslash \widehat{\bar{B}}^\times / \Sigma.$$

The class of g in $\widetilde{\Gamma}_i\backslash \mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})/\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ corresponds to the class of $x_{i,\mathfrak{p}}g_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $\bar{B}^\times\backslash\widehat{\bar{B}}^\times/\Sigma$, where $g_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the element of $\widehat{\bar{B}}^\times$ that is equal to g at the place $\mathfrak{p}$, and equal to the identity at each other place. Using this we can attach to an automorphic form $\mathbf{f}$ of weight k and level Σ an h-tuple of functions $(f_1,\cdots,f_h)$ on $\mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ with values in $V(\mathrm{k})$ defined by $f_i(g)=\mathbf{f}(x_{i,\mathfrak{p}}g_{\mathfrak{p}})$. The function f_i satisfies

$$f_i(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}guz)=\chi_{F,\mathrm{cyc}}^{2-w}(z)u^{-1}\cdot f_i(g)$$

for all $\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\in\widetilde{\Gamma}_i$, $g\in\mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$, $u\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $z\in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$.

For each prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of F such that $\bar{B}$ splits at $\mathfrak{l}$, $\mathfrak{l}\neq\mathfrak{p}$, and $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is maximal, one define a Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{l}}$ on $S_{\mathrm{k}}^{\bar{B}}(\Sigma)$ as follows. Fix an isomorphism $\iota_{\mathfrak{l}}:B_{\mathfrak{l}}\to M_2(F_{\mathfrak{l}})$ such that $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{l}}$ becomes identified with $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o}_{F_{\mathfrak{l}}})$. Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{l}}$ be a uniformizer of $\mathfrak{o}_{F_{\mathfrak{l}}}$. Given a double coset decomposition

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o}_{F_{\mathfrak{l}}})\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&\pi_{\mathfrak{l}}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o}_{F_{\mathfrak{l}}})=\coprod b_i\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o}_{F_{\mathfrak{l}}})$$

we define the Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{l}}$ on $S_{\mathrm{k}}^{\bar{B}}(\Sigma)$ by

$$(T_{\mathfrak{l}}\mathbf{f})(b)=\sum_i\mathbf{f}(bb_i).$$

We define $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ similarly. Let $\mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ be the Hecke algebra generated by $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and these $T_{\mathfrak{l}}$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{o}_F^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ the ring of $\mathfrak{p}$-integers of F and $(\mathfrak{o}_F^{(\mathfrak{p})})^\times$ the group of $\mathfrak{p}$-units of F. We have $\widetilde{\Gamma}_i\cap F^\times=(\mathfrak{o}_F^{(\mathfrak{p})})^\times$. For $i=1,\cdots,h$, put $\Gamma_i=\widetilde{\Gamma}_i/(\mathfrak{o}_F^{(\mathfrak{p})})^\times$. Consider the following twisted action of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_i$ on $V(\mathrm{k})$:

$$\gamma\star v=|\mathrm{Nrd}_{\bar{B}/F}\gamma|_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\frac{w-2}{2}}\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\cdot v.$$

Then $(\mathfrak{o}_F^{(\mathfrak{p})})^\times$ is trivial on $V(\mathrm{k})$, so we may consider $V(\mathrm{k})$ as a Γ_i-module via the above twisted action.

8.2 Teitelbaum type L-invariants

Chida, Mok and Park [7] defined Teitelbaum type L-invariant for automorphic forms $\mathbf{f}\in S_{\mathrm{k}}^{\bar{B}}(\Sigma)$ satisfying the condition (CMP) given in the introduction:

$$\mathbf{f}\text{ is new at }\mathfrak{p}\text{ and }U_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{f}=\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{p}^{w/2}\mathbf{f}.$$

We recall their construction below.

We attach to each f_i a Γ_i-invariant $V(\mathrm{k})$-valued cocycle c_{f_i}, where Γ_i acts on $V(\mathrm{k})$ via $\star$. For $e=(s,t)\in\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{T})$, represent s and t by lattices L_s and L_t such that L_s contains L_t with index $\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{p}$. Let $g_e\in\mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be such that $g_e(\mathfrak{o}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}^2)=L_s$. Then we define $c_{f_i}(e):=|\det(g)|_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\frac{w-2}{2}}g_e\star f_i(g_e)$. If $\mathbf{f}$ satisfies (CMP), then c_{f_i} is in $C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V(\mathrm{k}))^{\Gamma_i}$ [7, Proposition 2.7]. Thus we obtain a vector of harmonic cocycles $c_{\mathbf{f}}=(c_{f_1},\cdots,c_{f_h})$.

For each $c\in C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V(\mathrm{k}))^{\Gamma_i}$ we define κ_c^{sch} to be the following function on Γ_i with values in $V(\mathrm{k})$: fixing some $v\in\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{T})$, for each $\gamma\in\Gamma_i$, we put

$$\kappa_c^{\mathrm{sch}}(\gamma):=\sum_{e:v\to\gamma v}c(e)$$

where e runs over the edges in the geodesic joining v and γv. As c is Γ_i-invariant, κ_c^{sch} is a 1-cocycle. Furthermore the class of κ_c^{sch} in $H^1(\Gamma_i, V(\mathrm{k}))$ is independent of the choice of v. Hence we obtain a map

$$\kappa^{\mathrm{sch}} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V(\mathrm{k}))^{\Gamma_i} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} H^1(\Gamma_i, V(\mathrm{k})).$$

By [7, Proposition 2.9] κ^{sch} is an isomorphism.

For each $\sigma : F_{\mathfrak{p}} \to L_{\mathfrak{P}}$, let $L_{\mathfrak{P},\sigma}(k,v)$ be the dual of $V_\sigma(k,v)$ with the right action of $\mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$: if $g \in \mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$, $P' \in L_{\mathfrak{P},\sigma}(k,v)$ and $P \in V_\sigma(k,v)$, then $\langle P', g\cdot P\rangle = \langle P'|_g, P\rangle$. We realize $L_{\mathfrak{P},\sigma}(k,v)$ by the same space as $V_\sigma(k,v)$, with the pairing

$$\langle X_\sigma^j Y_\sigma^{k-2-j}, X_\sigma^{j'} Y_\sigma^{k-2-j'}\rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = j' \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq j' \end{cases}$$

and the right $\mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$-action

$$P|_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d\end{smallmatrix}\right]} = P(\sigma(a)X_\sigma + \sigma(b)Y_\sigma, \sigma(c)X_\sigma + \sigma(d)X_\sigma).$$

Put

$$L_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathrm{k})^\tau := \bigotimes_{\sigma\neq\tau} L_{\mathfrak{P},\sigma}(k_\sigma, (w-k_\sigma)/2) \tag{8.1}$$

with the right action of $\mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$, where the tensor product is taken over $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$.

For each harmonic cocycle $c \in C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(V(\mathrm{k}))^{\Gamma_i}$ the method of Amice-Velu and Vishik allows one to define the $V(\mathrm{k})^\tau$-valued rigid analytic distribution μ_c^τ on $\mathrm{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ such that the value of $\int_{U_e} t^j \mu_c^\tau(t) \in V(\mathrm{k})^\tau$ $(0 \le j \le k_\tau - 2)$ satisfies

$$\langle Q, \int_{U_e} t^j \mu_c^\tau(t)\rangle = \frac{\langle X_\tau^j Y_\tau^{k_\tau-2-j}\otimes Q, c(e)\rangle}{\binom{k_\tau-2}{j}}$$

for each $Q \in L_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathrm{k})^\tau$. [4]

Using μ_c^τ we obtain a $V(\mathrm{k})^\tau$-valued rigid analytic function g_c^τ, precisely a global section of $V(\mathrm{k})^\tau \otimes_{\tau,F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H},\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ by

$$g_c^\tau(z) = \int_{\mathrm{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}})} \frac{1}{z-t}\mu_c^\tau(t)$$

for $z \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$. The function g_c^τ satisfies the transformation property: for $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}_i$, let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ be the image of γ in $\bar{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathrm{GL}(2,F_{\mathfrak{p}})$; then

$$g_c^\tau(\gamma\cdot z) = |\mathrm{Nrd}_{B/F}\gamma|_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\frac{w-2}{2}} (\mathrm{Nrd}_{B/F}\gamma)^{\frac{w-2-k_\tau}{2}} (cz+d)^{k_\tau} \gamma\cdot g_c^\tau(z).$$

Consider $V(\mathrm{k})$ as an $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module via $\tau : F_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow L_{\mathfrak{P}}$. We define the $V(\mathrm{k})$-valued cocycle λ_c^τ as follows. Fix a point $z_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$ the value $\lambda_c^\tau(\gamma)$ is given by the formula: for $Q \in L_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathrm{k})^\tau$,

$$\langle X_\tau^j Y_\tau^{k_\tau-2-j}\otimes Q, \lambda_c^\tau(\gamma)\rangle = \binom{k_\tau-2}{j} \langle Q, \int_{z_0}^{\gamma z_0} z^j g_c^\tau(z)\mathrm{d}z\rangle$$

[4] There are minors in the definitions of μ_c^τ and λ_c^τ in [7]. See [36] Definition 6 and the paragraph before Proposition 9.

$(0 \le j \le k_\tau - 2)$, where the integral is the branch of Coleman's integral chosen in Section 7. Then λ_c^τ is a 1-cocycle on Γ_i, and the class of λ_c^τ in $H^1(\Gamma_i, V(\mathrm{k}))$, denoted by $[\lambda_c^\tau]$, is independent of the choice of z_0. This defines a map

$$\kappa^{\mathrm{col},\tau} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} C^1_{\mathrm{har}}(\mathcal{T}, V(\mathrm{k}))^{\Gamma_i} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} H^1(\Gamma_i, V(\mathrm{k})), \quad (c_i)_i \mapsto ([\lambda_{c_i}^\tau])_i.$$

As κ^{sch} is an isomorphism, for each τ there exists a unique $\ell_\tau \in L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ such that

$$\kappa^{\mathrm{col},\tau}(c_{\mathbf{f}}) = \ell_\tau \kappa^{\mathrm{sch}}(c_{\mathbf{f}}).$$

The *Teitelbaum type L-invariant* of $\mathbf{f}$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{f})$, is defined to be the vector $(\ell_\tau)_\tau$ [7, Section 3.2]. We also write $\mathcal{L}_{T,\tau}(\mathbf{f})$ for ℓ_τ.

9 Comparing L-invariants

Let B, $\bar{B}$, G and $\bar{G}$ be as before. Let $\mathfrak{n}^-$ be the conductor of $\bar{B}$. By our assumption on $\bar{B}$, $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{n}^-$ and the conductor of B is $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^-$. Let $\mathfrak{n}^+$ be an ideal of $\mathfrak{o}_F$ that is prime to $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^-$, and put $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^+\mathfrak{n}^-$.

For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{o}_F$, put

$$\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{l}} := \begin{cases} \text{an maximal compact open subgroup of } \bar{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}^\times & \text{if } \mathfrak{l} \text{ is prime to } \mathfrak{n}, \\ \text{the maximal compact open subgroup of } \bar{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}^\times & \text{if } \mathfrak{l} \text{ divides } \mathfrak{n}^-, \\ 1 + \text{ an Eichler order of } \bar{B}_{\mathfrak{l}} \text{ of level } \mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{val}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^+)} & \text{if } \mathfrak{l} \text{ divides } \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^+. \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{\Sigma} = \Sigma(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{n}^-)$ be the level $\prod_{\mathfrak{l}} \bar{R}_{\mathfrak{l}}$. We write $S_{\mathrm{k}}^{\bar{B}}(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{n}^-)$ for $S_{\mathrm{k}}^{\bar{B}}(\Sigma(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{n}^-))$. Similarly we define $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^-)$, a compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Let $S_{\mathrm{k}}^{B}(\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^-)$ be the space of modular forms on the Shimura curve M of weight k and level Σ.

Let $\mathrm{k} = (k_1, \cdots, k_g, w)$ be a multiweight such that $k_1 \equiv \cdots k_g \equiv w \mod 2$ and $k_1, \cdots, k_g$ are all even and larger than 2. Let f_∞ be a (Hilbert) eigen cusp newform of weight k and level $\mathfrak{n}$ that is new at $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^-$. Let $\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathrm{k}}^{\bar{B}}(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{n}^-)$ (resp. $f_B \in S_{\mathrm{k}}^{B}(\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^-)$) be an eigen newform corresponding to f_∞ by the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence; $\mathbf{f}$ (resp. f_B) is unique up to scalars.

We further assume that $\mathbf{f}$ satisfies (CMP), so that we can attach to $\mathbf{f}$ the Teitelbaum type L-invariant $\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{f})$. We define $\mathcal{L}_T(f_\infty)$ to be $\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{f})$. The goal of this section is to compare $\mathcal{L}_{FM}(f_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{L}_T(f_\infty)$.

Let L be a (sufficiently large) finite extension of F that splits B and contains all Hecke eigenvalues acting on f_∞. Let λ be an arbitrary place of L.

Lemma 9.1. *[28, Lemma 3.1] There is an isomorphism*

$$H^1_{\mathrm{et}}(M_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k})_\lambda) \simeq \bigoplus_{f'} \pi^\infty_{f', L(f')} \otimes_{L(f')} \Big(\bigoplus_{\lambda' | \lambda} \rho_{f', \lambda'}\Big)$$

of representations of $G(\mathbb{A}_f) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ over L_λ. Here f' runs through the conjugacy classes over L, up to scalars, of eigen newforms of multiweight k *that are new at primes dividing $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^-$. The extension of L generated by the Hecke eigenvalues acting on f' is denoted by $L(f')$, and λ' runs through places of $L(f')$ above λ.*

By the strong multiplicity one theorem (cf. [26]) there exists a primitive idempotent $e_{\mathbf{f}} \in \mathbb{T}_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ such that $e_{\mathbf{f}}\mathbb{T}_{\bar{\Sigma}} = Le_{\mathbf{f}}$ and $e_{\mathbf{f}} \cdot S_{\mathrm{k}}^{\bar{B}}(\Sigma(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{n}^-)) = L \cdot \mathbf{f}$. Lemma 9.1 tells us that $e_{\mathbf{f}} \cdot H^1_{\mathrm{et}}(M_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k})_\lambda)^{\bar{\Sigma}}$ is exactly $\rho_{f_B,\lambda}$, the λ-adic representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ attached to f_B. By Carayol's construction of $\rho_{f_\infty,\lambda}$ [6] $\rho_{f_\infty,\lambda}$ coincides with $\rho_{f_B,\lambda}$.

Now we take λ to be a place above $\mathfrak{p}$, denoted by $\mathfrak{P}$.

Recall that in Section 7.2 and Section 8 we associate to $\bar{\Sigma}$ the groups $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{i,0}, \widetilde{\Gamma}_i, \Gamma_i, \Gamma_{i,0}$ $(i = 1, \cdots, h)$. By (7.5) X_Σ is isomorphic to $\coprod_i X_{\Gamma_{i,0}}$, where $X_{\Gamma_{i,0}} = \Gamma_{i,0} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$.

Theorem 9.2. *Let f_B be as above. Then $\rho_{f_B,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}}$ is a semistable (non-crystalline) representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}/F_{\mathfrak{p}})$, and the filtered (φ_q, N)-module $D_{\mathrm{st},F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\rho_{f_B,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}})$ is a monodromy $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$-module.*

Proof. To show that $\rho_{f_B,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}}$ is semistable, we only need to prove that $H^1_{\mathrm{et}}((X_\Sigma)_{\bar{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k}))$ is semistable, since $\rho_{f_B,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}}$ is a subrepresentation of $H^1_{\mathrm{et}}((X_\Sigma)_{\bar{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k}))$. But this follows from Proposition 2.1 and the fact that X_Σ is semistable.

Next we prove that $D_{\mathrm{st},F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\rho_{f_B,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}})$ is a monodromy $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$-module. We only need to consider $D_{\mathrm{st},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}}(\rho_{f_B,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}})$ instead.

Twisting f_B by a central character we may assume that $w = 2$.

Being a Shimura variety, N_E is a family of varieties. But in the following we will use N_E to denote any one in this family that corresponds to a level subgroup whose $\mathfrak{p}$-factor is $\mathscr{O}_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times$. By the proof of Lemma 6.4, any geometric point of $(N_E)_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ is defined over $\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. In other words, $(N_E)_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ is the product of several copies of $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}})$.

Let pr_1 be the projection $X_\Sigma \times (N_E)_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \to X_\Sigma$. By Corollary 6.5 the filtered φ_q-isocrystal attached to $\mathrm{pr}_1^*\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k})$ is $\mathrm{pr}_1^*\mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})$. Note that

$$H^1_{\mathrm{et}}((X_\Sigma \times (N_E)_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}})_{\widehat{\overline{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathrm{pr}_1^*\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k})) = H^0_{\mathrm{et}}((N_E)_{\widehat{\overline{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H^1_{\mathrm{et}}((X_\Sigma)_{\widehat{\overline{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k})).$$

The $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}/F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}})$-representation $H^0_{\mathrm{et}}((N_E)_{\widehat{\overline{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is crystalline and the associated filtered φ_q-module is $H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}((N_E)_{\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ with trivial filtration. Let H^0 denote this filtered φ_q-module for simplicity. As a consequence, we have an isomorphism of filtered (φ_q, N)-modules

$$H^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} D_{\mathrm{st},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}}(H^1_{\mathrm{et}}((X_\Sigma)_{\widehat{\overline{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{k}))) = H^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})). \tag{9.1}$$

Using the decomposition (6.3), for each embedding $\tau : F_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ we put

$$H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})) := \mathbb{H}^1(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{V}(\mathrm{k}) \otimes_{\tau,F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \Omega^\bullet_{X_\Sigma}).$$

In Section 8.2 we attached to $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \cdots, f_h)$ an h-tuple $g^\tau = (g_1^\tau, \cdots, g_h^\tau)$. Let $M_\tau(\mathbf{f})$ denote the $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$-subspace of $\bigoplus_i H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_{\Gamma_{i,0}}, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k}))$ generated by the element

$$\omega_{\mathbf{f}}^\tau = \left(g_i^\tau(z)(zX_\tau + Y_\tau)^{k_\tau - 2}\mathrm{d}z\right)_{1 \le i \le h}.$$

(Note that, when $w = 2$, the twisted action $\star$ in Section 8 coincides with the original action.) Therefore, we have

$$e_{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w+k_\tau}{2}-2} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})) \supseteq M_\tau(\mathbf{f}).$$

Consider the pairing $< \cdot, \cdot >$ on $V(\mathrm{k})$ defined by

$$< Q_1, Q_2 > = \text{the coefficient of } \prod_{\sigma} (X_\sigma Y_\sigma)^{k_\sigma - 2} \text{ in } Q_1 Q_2.$$

It is perfect and induces a perfect pairing on $H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k}))$. With respect to this pairing $\mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w-k_\tau}{2}+1} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k}))$ is orthogonal to $\mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w+k_\tau}{2}-2} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k}))$. As $e_{\mathbf{f}} \cdot H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k}))$ is of rank 2 over $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$, we obtain

$$e_{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w-k_\tau}{2}+1} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})) = e_{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w+k_\tau}{2}-2} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})) = M_\tau(\mathbf{f}).$$

Thus

$$H^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{pr}_1^* M_\tau(\mathbf{f}) = H^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w+\min_\tau\{k_\tau\}}{2}-2} D_{\mathrm{st},\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}}(\rho_{f_B,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}})_\tau.$$

Let ι^τ and I^τ be the operators attached to the sheaf $\mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})$ over X_Σ (see Section 7.2). Here, the superscript τ is used to emphasize the embedding $\tau : F_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow L_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Proposition 2.2 tells us that the monodromy N on $H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k}))$ coincides with $\iota^\tau \circ I^\tau$. By Proposition 7.1 the kernel of N is

$$\iota^\tau \circ \delta^{-1}(\bigoplus_i H^1(\Gamma_{i,0}, V(\mathrm{k}))).$$

So, by (7.4) the restriction of N to $M_\tau(\mathbf{f})$ is injective. Hence,

$$\ker(N) \cap \mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w+\min_\tau\{k_\tau\}}{2}-2} D_{\mathrm{st},F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\rho_{f_B,\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{p}})_\tau = 0,$$

as desired. □

Let P^τ be the operator attached to $\mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})$ (see Section 7.2).

Lemma 9.3. *Let $\omega^\tau_{\mathbf{f}}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 9.2. Then*

$$P^\tau(\omega^\tau_{\mathbf{f}}) = \kappa^{\mathrm{col},\tau}(c_{\mathbf{f}}), \qquad I^\tau(\omega^\tau_{\mathbf{f}}) = c_{\mathbf{f}}.$$

Proof. The first formula comes from the definitions.

The proof of the second formula is similar to that of [36, Theorem 3]. Let μ^τ_i $(i = 1, \cdots, h)$ be the rigid analytic distributions on $\mathrm{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ coming from $c_{\mathbf{f}}$ (see Section 8). Recall that

$$g^\tau_i(z) = \int_{\mathrm{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}})} \frac{1}{z-t} \mu^\tau_i(t).$$

For each edge e of $\mathcal{T}$ let $B(e)$ be the affinoid open disc in $\mathrm{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ that corresponds to e. Assume that $B(e)$ meets the limits set $\mathrm{P}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ in a compact open subset $U(e)$. Put

$$g^\tau_{i,e}(z) = \int_{U(e)} \frac{1}{z-t} \mu^\tau_i(t).$$

Let $a(e)$ be a point in $U(e)$. Expanding $\frac{1}{z-t}$ at $a(e)$ we obtain that

$$g^\tau_{i,e}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(z-a(e))^{n+1}} \int_{U(e)} (t-a(e))^n \mu^\tau_i(t),$$

and thus $g_{i,e}^\tau(z)$ converges on the complement of $B(e)$. Note that $g_i^\tau - g_{i,e}^\tau$ is analytic on $B(e)$. So, we have

$$I^\tau(g_i^\tau(zX_\tau+Y_\tau)^{k_\tau-2}\mathrm{d}z)(e) = \mathrm{Res}_e\Big(g_i^\tau(zX_\tau+Y_\tau)^{k_\tau-2}\mathrm{d}z\Big) = \mathrm{Res}_e\Big(g_{i,e}^\tau(zX_\tau+Y_\tau)^{k_\tau-2}\mathrm{d}z\Big)$$
$$= \mathrm{Res}_e\Big(\int_{U(e)}\frac{(zX_\tau+Y_\tau)^{k_\tau-2}}{z-t}\mu_i^\tau(t)\Big) = \int_{U(e)}(tX_\tau+Y_\tau)^{k_\tau-2}\mu_i^\tau(t) = c_{f_i}(e),$$

where the fourth equality follows from the fact that Res_e commutes with $\int_{U(e)}\cdot\,\mu_i^\tau(t)$. □

Theorem 9.4. *Let f_∞ be as above. Then $\mathcal{L}_{FM}(f_\infty) = \mathcal{L}_T(f_\infty)$.*

Proof. Twisting f_∞ by a central character we may assume that $w = 2$.

Put $D_\tau = H^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} e_{\mathbf{f}} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k}))$. Note that $N = \iota^\tau \circ I^\tau$. As the kernel of N coincides with the image of $\iota^\tau \circ \delta^{-1}$ and P^τ splits $\iota^\tau \circ \delta^{-1}$, we have $D_\tau = \ker(N) \oplus \ker(P^\tau)$. Write $\omega_{\mathbf{f}}^\tau = x + y$ according to this decomposition. Then

$$\iota^\tau \circ \delta^{-1} \circ P^\tau(\omega_{\mathbf{f}}^\tau) = x. \tag{9.2}$$

By the proof of Theorem 9.2, y is non-zero and so $N(y) \neq 0$.

By Lemma 9.3 and the definition of Teitelbaum type L-invariant, $\mathcal{L}_{T,\tau}(f_\infty)$ is characterized by the property

$$(P^\tau - \mathcal{L}_{T,\tau}(f_\infty)\epsilon \circ I^\tau)\omega_{\mathbf{f}}^\tau = 0, \tag{9.3}$$

where ϵ is the map defined by (7.2) which coincides with κ^{sch}. As $\delta^{-1} \circ \epsilon = -\mathrm{id}$ and $\iota^\tau \circ I^\tau = N$, we have

$$\iota^\tau \circ \delta^{-1} \circ \epsilon \circ I^\tau(\omega_{\mathbf{f}}^\tau) = -N(\omega_{\mathbf{f}}^\tau). \tag{9.4}$$

By (9.2), (9.3) and (9.4) we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,\tau}(f_\infty)N(\omega_{\mathbf{f}}^\tau) + x = 0. \tag{9.5}$$

By the definition of Fontaine-Mazur L-invariant, $\mathcal{L}_{FM,\tau}(f_\infty)$ is characterized by the property

$$y - \mathcal{L}_{FM,\tau}(f_\infty)N(y) \in H^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w+\min_\tau\{k_\tau\}}{2}-2} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})). \tag{9.6}$$

Combining (9.5) and (9.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{L}_{FM,\tau}(f_\infty) - \mathcal{L}_{T,\tau}(f_\infty))N(y) \\
= \;& \mathcal{L}_{FM,\tau}(f_\infty)N(y) - \mathcal{L}_{T,\tau}(f_\infty)N(\omega_{\mathbf{f}}^\tau) \\
\in \;& \omega_{\mathbf{f}}^\tau + H^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w+\min_\tau\{k_\tau\}}{2}-2} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})) \\
= \;& H^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w+\min_\tau\{k_\tau\}}{2}-2} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})).
\end{aligned}$$

But $N(y)$ is in $\ker(N)$ and is non-zero, and by Theorem 9.2

$$\ker(N) \cap H^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Fil}^{\frac{w+\min_\tau\{k_\tau\}}{2}-2} H^1_{\mathrm{dR},\tau}(X_\Sigma, \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{k})) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_{FM,\tau}(f_\infty) - \mathcal{L}_{T,\tau}(f_\infty) = 0,$$

as wanted. □

References

[1] L. Berger, *Représentations p-adiques et équations différentielles.* Invent. Math. 148 (2002) no. 2, 219-284.

[2] M. Bertolini, H. Darmon, A. Iovita, *Families of automorphic forms on definite quaternion algebras and Teitelbaum's conjecture.* Asterisque 331 (2010), 29-64.

[3] J.-F. Boutot, H. Carayol, *Uniformisation p-adique des courbes de Shimura: les théorèmes de Čerednik et de Drinfel'd.* Astérisque 196-197 (1991), 45-158.

[4] C. Breuil, *Série spéciale p-adique et cohomologie étale complétée.* Asterisque 331 (2010), 65-115.

[5] H. Carayol, *Sur la mauvaise réduction des courbes de Shimura.* Comp. Math. 59 (1986), 151-230.

[6] H. Carayol, *Sur les représentations ℓ-adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert.* Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup. (4) 19 (1986), 409-468.

[7] M. Chida, C. Mok, J. Park, *On Teitelbaum type $\mathcal{L}$-invariants of Hilbert Modular forms attached to definite quaternions.* J. Number Theory 147 (2015), 633-665.

[8] R. Coleman, *Dilogarithms, regulators, and p-adic L functions.* Invent. Math. 69 (1982), 171-208.

[9] R. Coleman, A. Iovita, *The Frobenius and monodromy operators for curves and abelian varieties.* Duke Math. J. 97 (1999), 171-215.

[10] R. Coleman, A. Iovita, *Hidden structures on Semistable curves.* Astérisque no 331 (2010), 179-254.

[11] P. Colmez, *Zéros supplémentaires de fonctions L p-adiques de formes modulaires.* Algebra and Number Theory, Hindustan Book Agency, Delhi (2005), 193-210.

[12] P. Deligne, *Variétés de Shimura: Interprétation modulaire, et technoques de construction de modèles canoniques.* Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 33 (1979) Part 2, 247-290, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1979).

[13] V. G. Drinfeld, *Coverings of p-adic symmetric regions.* Funct. Anal. Appl. 10 (1976), 107-115.

[14] M. Emerton, *p-adic L-functions and unitary completions of representations of p-adic reductive groups.* Duke Math. J. 130 (2005), 353-392.

[15] G. Faltings, *Crystalline Cohomology of semistable curve – The $\mathbb{Q}_p$-theory.* J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997) no 1, 1-18.

[16] J.-M. Fontaine, *Le corps de Périodes p-adiques.* Astérisque 223 (1994), 59-101.

[17] R. Greenberg, G. Steven, *p-adic L-functions and p-adic periods of modular forms.* Invent. Math. 111 (1993), 407-447.

[18] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Math. no 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

[19] A. Iovita, M. Spiess, *Derivatives of p-adic L-functions, Heegner cycles and monodromy modules attached to modular forms*. Invent. Math. 154 (2003), no. 2, 333-384.

[20] B. Mazur, *On monodromy invariants occuring in global arithmetic and Fontaine's theory. p*-adic Monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture (Boston 1991). Contemporary Math. 165 (1994), 1-20.

[21] B. Mazur, J. Tate, J. Teitelbaum, *On p-adic analogs of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer*. Invent. Math. 84 (1986), 1-48.

[22] J. S. Milne, *Canonical models of (mixed) Shimura varieties and automorphic vector bundles in automorphic forms, Shimura varieties and L-functions, I.* Academic Press, New York, 1990, 284-414.

[23] C. Mok, *The exceptional zero conjecture for Hilbert modular forms*. Compos. Math. 145 (2009), 1-55.

[24] A. Ogus, *F-Isocrystals and de Rham Cohomology II - Convergent Isocrystals*. Duke Math. J. 51 (1984) 765-850.

[25] L. Orton, *An elementary proof of a weak exceptional zero conjecture*. Canad. J. Math. 56 (2004), 373-405.

[26] I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Multiplicity one Theorems*. Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math. vol 33, part 1, 209-212. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode, Island, 1979.

[27] M. Rapoport, T. Zink, *Period spaces for p-divisible groups*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1996.

[28] T. Saito, *Hilbert modular forms and p-adic Hodge Theory*. Compos. Math. 145 (2009), 1081-1113.

[29] P. Schneider, *Rigid analytic L-transforms,* Lecture Notes in Math. 1068, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, 216-230.

[30] P. Schneider, *The cohomology of local systems on p-adically uniformized varieties*. Math. Ann. 293 (1992) no 4, 623-650.

[31] P. Schneider, U. Stuhler, The cohomology of p-adically symmetric spaces. Invent. Math. 105 (1991) no.1, 47-122.

[32] E. de Sahlit, *Eichler cohomology and periods of modular froms on p-adic Schottky groups*. J. reine angew. Math. 400 (1989) 3-31.

[33] E. de Shalit, *Differentials of the second kind on Mumford curves*. Israel J. of Math. Vol 71 no 1 (1990), 1-16.

[34] G. Stevens, *Coleman's $\mathscr{L}$-invariant and families of modular forms*. Asterisque 331 (2010), 1-12.

[35] J. Teitelbaum, *On Drinfeld's universal formal group over the p-adic upper half plane*. Math. Ann. 284 (1989), 647-674.

[36] J. Teitelbaum, *Values of p-adic L-functions and a p-adic Poisson kernel*. Invent. Math. 101 (1990), 395-410.

[37] Y. Varshavsky, *p-adic uniformization of unitary Shimura varieties*. Publ. Math. IHES 87 (1998), 57-119.

[38] B. Xie, *On Drinfeld's universal special formal module*. J. Number Theory 129 (2009), 1122-1135.